

EXHIBIT A

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 CASE NO. 08-13555-scc

4 - - - - - x

5 In the Matter of:

6
7 LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,

8
9 Debtor.

10 - - - - - x

11 U.S. Bankruptcy Court

12 One Bowling Green

13 New York, New York

14
15 March 24, 2017

16 10:03 AM

17
18 B E F O R E :

19 HON. SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN

20 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25

1 ECRO - KAREN

2 HEARING RE: Doc #53107 Plan Administrators Five Hundred

3 Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claims)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2 Transcribed by: Sheila Orms and Dawn South

3 A P P E A R A N C E S :

4 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, L.L.P.

5 Attorneys for LBHI

6 767 Fifth Avenue

7 New York, NY 10153

8
9 BY: ADAM M. LAVINE, ESQ.

10 GARRETT A. FAIL, ESQ.

11 RICHARD L. LEVINE, ESQ.

12
13 SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP

14 Attorneys for the Maverick Entities

15 599 Lexington Avenue

16 New York, NY 10022

17
18 BY: WILLIAM J. F. ROLL, III, ESQ.

19 RANDALL L. MARTIN, ESQ.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE COURT: Please have a seat.

How is everyone?

ALL: Very good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Who am I going to hear from?

MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor, Richard Levine
from Weil Gotshal for LBHI as plan administrator.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor --

THE COURT: You know, I'll say my usual, I've read
the papers, but in light of the degree of complexity for the
-- although you say it's not complex, but in light of the
sheer volume of the different arguments, I'm happy to let
you make whatever presentation you like and the same goes
for the Maverick parties.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor.

So, as you know, we believe that if we are right
about the application of Section 562, then our motion should
be granted in its entirety so that will be my focus. But
I'd like to start, Your Honor, with the Maverick LBIE
settlement.

1 The first thing I'd like to read from is --

2 THE COURT: Can I ask you one --

3 MR. LEVINE: Sure.

4 THE COURT: -- overarching background question.

5 MR. LEVINE: Sure.

6 THE COURT: So this all came in the first round
7 incident to the estimation motion.

8 MR. LEVINE: That's right.

9 THE COURT: Okay. So in terms of, you know, given
10 that we're at an advanced point in the case, and this is not
11 dispositive in any way, it's just information for me, are
12 there other similar claims structurally similar claims that
13 have been allowed or disallowed either with respect to an
14 LBHI guarantee of a LBIE obligation or LBIE obligation or an
15 LBHI guarantee of an LBI obligation? And if you don't know
16 the answer that's fine, as a legal matter it's neither here
17 nor there, it's just information.

18 MR. LEVINE: I'll defer to Mr. Fail on that. But
19 it's my understanding that no, that there were two of these
20 "LBIE" guarantee claims, SRM, which we argued to Your Honor
21 last summer and then mediated and then this one, where there
22 were among the few LBIE guaranteed claims that were
23 withdrawn from the estimation objection.

24 Most of the LBIE guarantee claims were resolved
25

1 with the estimation objection and you estimated at zero
2 because LBIE was being a hundred percent payor. There were
3 only a handful --

4 THE COURT: Payor, you said, payor.

5 MR. LEVINE: Right, so LBIE was paying a hundred
6 percent on claims. So it was only a few guarantee claims
7 against LBHI that had settled with LBIE before they knew
8 that LBIE was going to be a hundred percent payor who
9 objected to the estimation motion.

10 The estimation motion was withdrawn as to those
11 claims because we wanted to get rid of the vast majority
12 where there was no objection, so there was only a handful
13 that survived.

14 And as far as I know, the only ones still
15 outstanding are SRM and Maverick. SRM is a little bit more
16 complicated because it's governed by UK law, remember we
17 don't think that makes a difference --

18 THE COURT: I remember, right.

19 MR. LEVINE: -- but it does, it did require both
20 sides to put in those horrible English expert law
21 declarations --

22 THE COURT: I wouldn't agree with the
23 characterization as horrible, but.

24 MR. LEVINE: Well, they're not written in American
25

1 English for sure.

2 THE COURT: No comment.

3 MR. LEVINE: Garrett, do you want to add anything
4 to add?

5 MR. FAIL: Yeah, thank you, Your Honor, Garrett
6 Fail.

7 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Fail.

8 MR. FAIL: Your Honor, is correct, there are a
9 number of claims that are still outstanding on -- of LBIE
10 guarantees, guarantees by LBHI of LBIE primary obligations
11 that are subject to a motion to estimate claims. There's
12 essentially two parties that are still outstanding with
13 those, there's a number of claims that they have acquired,
14 but there's only two parties there.

15 These -- the two that Mr. Levine referenced SRM
16 and Maverick are the only two in the claims objection where
17 LBHI filed an objection because the parties are asking for
18 more than they -- they're looking for no more than --

19 THE COURT: The notion on that are the primary
20 obligation at Libby.

21 MR. FAIL: Exactly. And I'm not aware of any
22 claims against LBHI where the primary obligation was LBIE
23 that have been allowed by LBHI.

24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

25

1 MR. LEVINE: So what I was going to -- since our
2 focus is on 562 --

3 THE COURT: Right.

4 MR. LEVINE: -- and I'll get to the legal issues
5 there in a few minutes. I thought what I'd like to do is
6 start with the key elements, which we think allow us to win
7 if 562 applies.

8 The first is a statement in Maverick's objection
9 to the estimation motion which I don't think, you know, by
10 any means they're walking away from. Your Honor doesn't
11 need to read along with me, but if you want to --

12 THE COURT: I have it. I have tab 4.

13 MR. LEVINE: Tab 4.

14 THE COURT: Tab 4, yes.

15 MR. LEVINE: Page 11, paragraph 22, and I'm
16 reading the second half of the paragraph about five lines up
17 from the bottom.

18 And this is their statement, and again I don't
19 think it's --

20 THE COURT: Hold on, tab 4 -- sorry.

21 MR. LEVINE: No problem, tab 4, page 11.

22 THE COURT: Page 11, yes.

23 MR. LEVINE: Paragraph 22.

24 THE COURT: The Ivanhoe rule.

25

1 MR. LEVINE: On paragraph 23, sorry, next
2 paragraph, I can't read my numbers. So if you go about
3 halfway or three quarters of the way down that paragraph,
4 the last sentence begins towards the right-hand column "in
5 light of the cancellation."

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. LEVINE: So this is their statement "In light
8 of the cancellation of indebtedness that would otherwise
9 have been owed to LBIE, Maverick concedes that it already
10 has effectively received approximately 101.9 million in
11 value from LBIE, in connection with the LBIE settlement
12 agreement, reflecting an offset of the approximate value of
13 the securities and cash that LBIE may have been obligated to
14 return to Maverick under English law."

15 So that's our first kind of point, which is that
16 if we're right, that the settlement date, and it wasn't
17 exactly the settlement date but for purpose of the
18 estimation motion, we're using the date and the valuation
19 that they assert, they got the value of their cash and
20 securities as of the settlement date under the settlement.

21 So that's a valuation date, they got what they're
22 entitled to. As we understand their argument, they say, no,
23 you use the petition date.

24 THE COURT: They say you use the petition date --
25

1 MR. LEVINE: Right.

2 THE COURT: -- for the purpose of the guarantee.

3 MR. LEVINE: Of valuing, right, of valuing the
4 claim.

5 THE COURT: For the purpose of asserting a claim
6 on the guarantee.

7 MR. LEVINE: That's right. Then the second thing
8 that I wanted to bring out is actually in the settlement
9 agreement itself, so that is behind tab 4, now we're going
10 to tab E behind tab 4, which is the LBIE settlement --
11 settlement between LBIE and Maverick.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. LEVINE: And there I'd like you to turn to
14 page 4 --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. LEVINE: -- of the settlement. And it's
17 actually part of -- it's 2.1.A, and this is where it
18 provides for termination-of the relevant agreements and
19 they're actually in Schedule 2 to the settlement, and they
20 list all the agreements at issue, the prime brokerage
21 agreements, the marginal lending agreements, and the global
22 master securities lending agreements, so they're all listed
23 in Schedule 2 and then defined as the relevant agreements.

24 "The relevant agreements and all transactions
25

1 thereunder, to the extent that they have not previously been
2 terminated are terminated as between LBIE and each Maverick
3 entity."

4 And I will tell Your Honor that we saw in
5 discovery any documents suggesting an earlier termination,
6 and SRM you'll remember, they actually served the notice of
7 termination, but Maverick was like most LBIE claimants, they
8 didn't serve a notice of termination, so there was no prior
9 termination.

10 And I don't understand them to be arguing there
11 was a prior termination.

12 THE COURT: No, I think in this point their
13 argument is that essentially it doesn't matter because the
14 words say that they're terminated as between LBIE and each
15 Maverick entity and therefore that does not affect the
16 obligations of LBHI and therefore, it does not implicate or
17 mean that 562(a) is controlling.

18 MR. LEVINE: Right and --

19 THE COURT: And in response to this, you say,
20 well, look all the obligations --

21 MR. LEVINE: I can sit down.

22 THE COURT: All the obligations are terminated, so
23 the fact that these words limit it to LBIE, is neither here
24 nor there.

25

1 MR. LEVINE: Right. I mean, that's exactly right,
2 it's really those three arguments you were just hinting at
3 that LBHI was not a broker dealer. LBHI could not perform
4 the prime brokerage functions, in fact, in the prime
5 brokerage agreement it expressly provides that a prime
6 brokerage account, the opening words are "a prime brokerage
7 account opened pursuant to this agreement will be opened at
8 Lehman Brothers, Inc."

9 And so clearly Lehman Brothers, Inc. was the prime
10 broker that, in fact, it was LBIE but it had to be either
11 LBI or LBIE --

12 THE COURT: Sure.

13 MR. LEVINE: -- because LBHI was not a broker or
14 could not trade for customers, could not custody --

15 THE COURT: Could not custody --

16 MR. LEVINE: -- securities or cash. So that in
17 terms of the obligations on the Lehman side on the -- under
18 the prime brokerage agreements, it was terminated as to
19 LBIE, there was nothing left for LBHI to do. LBHI was
20 technically a party and benefitted from things like
21 exculpation provisions, but it didn't have any obligations
22 because it couldn't interact with customers.

23 Secondly obviously to the extent that their claims
24 are guarantee claims, and under the proof of claim, and
25

1 we'll look at that in a moment, I'm not sure you're going to
2 pull one out, all the claims are guarantee claims.

3 THE COURT: They're guarantee claims.

4 MR. LEVINE: So if they're guarantee claims --

5 THE COURT: Right.

6 MR. LEVINE: -- and the primary claims are against
7 LBIE and it's been terminated as to LBIE, and the only
8 question is whether LBIE still owes them something as to
9 which we're guarantor. Well, I don't think it matters
10 whether it was terminated as to LBHI when, to the extent
11 their claims are a guarantee claim.

12 And I think finally the argument would be that if,
13 in fact, it was not terminated as to LBHI, so that there
14 were still somehow remaining obligations of LBHI after the
15 LBIE/Maverick settlement, then it was an executory contract
16 and it was rejected pursuant to the plan, and that was just
17 about the same date as the settlement, the effective date of
18 the plan, so it was rejected and 562 would still apply.

19 So that's our response to the argument that it
20 wasn't terminated as to LBHI. So if we are right that
21 Section 562 controls, then we think there's no room to
22 argument, no room to argue, other than as of that date, the
23 contracts were terminated, the security contracts, the
24 master netting agreements were terminated and they got full
25

1 value.

2 Now, as I understand Maverick's main theory, it's
3 very different. The main theory is that the value of their
4 long positions, and they say you don't take into account the
5 short positions, the offsetting short positions, you just
6 look at their long positions, to decrease from the petition
7 date which is they say when you value it, 562 doesn't apply,
8 to the settlement date by 16.2 million. And that they're
9 entitled to that diminution in value from petition date to
10 settlement date because all they were able to get from LBIE
11 is the value at the settlement date, and not the value at
12 the petition date.

13 Of course, we think well, it doesn't matter what
14 the value is of the petition date, but that's their argument
15 as I understand it.

16 THE COURT: Well, in support of that argument,
17 they say, for example, if for some reason, their claim
18 against LBHI would have had to have been valued prior to the
19 settlement date, you would be stuck with valuation as of the
20 petition date.

21 MR. LEVINE: Well, I -- that may have been true,
22 but the -- once they terminated their contracts, 562 kicks
23 in. I mean, 562 --

24 THE COURT: 562 kicks in not only with respect to
25

1 the termination of the prime brokerage agreement, but also
2 with respect to the guarantee agreement.

3 MR. LEVINE: Right, for multiple reasons. Number
4 one, we think the guarantee agreement under the definition
5 in the Code, in Section 741 --

6 THE COURT: Is the securities --

7 MR. LEVINE: -- is the securities contract, right,
8 and to the extent the prime brokerage agreement is the
9 master netting agreement, then the guarantee of the master
10 netting agreement is also a securities contract.

11 The -- I lost my train of thought, I'm sorry.

12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, my fault.

13 MR. LEVINE: So, you know, we think 562 applies,
14 but so to get these diminution damages, reduction in value,
15 and we're accepting their numbers for purposes of the motion
16 to dismiss hearing, we're not agreeing with them, we're just
17 accepting them for purposes of -- for example, they value --
18 their settlement they value is supposedly as of February
19 13th, 2012. Because that's, according to their affidavit,
20 basically when the business deal was done.

21 Now, my guess is, is that the reason they're using
22 that day, so the actual settlement date of March 30th, 2012
23 is because it moved against them, but I don't know that, and
24 we're not challenging that --

25

1 THE COURT: We're not going there at a sufficiency
2 hearing.

3 MR. LEVINE: At a sufficiency hearing.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. LEVINE: We're accepting their number. So --
6 but to get those diminution damages, that 16.2 million, they
7 need to establish 562 doesn't apply, they need to convince
8 Your Honor that your rulings in Stonehill, Newport, and
9 Providence and the decision in MF Global on exculpation
10 provisions precluding diminution damages doesn't apply here;
11 they're wrong.

12 THE COURT: And just to stop in the decision tree,
13 even if 562 were held not to apply, you say the exculpation
14 would kick in and would knock out the liability of LBHI on
15 the guarantee nonetheless, correct?

16 MR. LEVINE: On the diminution damages.

17 THE COURT: On the diminution damages.

18 MR. LEVINE: They make an assertion that as of the
19 petition date for five of the six funds, you know, five
20 funds they chose, so we know what the sixth one would have
21 done to the number, the net amount, and this is on a net
22 basis owed by LBIE to Maverick was 4.3, 4.3 million.

23 So we would say that, if they're right that 562
24 doesn't apply, our worst case is a 4.3 million --
25

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. LEVINE: -- then we'd say, once we take into
3 account that sixth fund, it's likely -- well, we know it's
4 going to be less than 4.3, whether it's going to be any
5 positive amount, I mean, I actually know the number, but it
6 was provided in mediation so I can't say it unless counsel
7 agrees, but in the public record, we know that for five of
8 the six funds all that LBIE owed Maverick as of the petition
9 date was 4.3 million on a net basis.

10 And as you know, Your Honor, we think this notion
11 that our guarantee was only of long positions and not net,
12 makes no sense. I mean, the function of a guarantee
13 obviously is to make sure that the primary obligor makes the
14 claimant whole. Right.

15 If all LBIE owed to Maverick was 4.3 million
16 because Maverick owed LBIE this much and LBIE owed it that
17 much, it seems crazy to say that we have to pay them this
18 windfall that we don't get to take into -- well, we're
19 guaranteeing more than the net amount, that they get to
20 claim and get this windfall.

21 So we think that doesn't make sense. So we think
22 that to get the 16.2 diminution damages, two of the three
23 arguments are that 562 doesn't apply, we think they lose.
24 The exculpation provisions don't preclude the diminution
25

1 damages, and we think they lose the law of the case, and MF
2 Global. And then there's this issue of they only look at
3 their long positions, and I think that's contrary to the
4 nature of a guarantee. We think that's contrary to the
5 nature of the parties' agreements.

6 Now, we made a mistake in our opening brief, we
7 cited to Your Honor the netting provision in the prime
8 brokerage agreement, which provides for netting. And they
9 correctly pointed out in their opposition that that only
10 applied if Maverick was in default.

11 But there's, you know, sometimes it's lucky to be
12 on the side of a good contract. Paragraph 32 of the prime
13 brokerage agreement, Your Honor --

14 THE COURT: What tab am I in?

15 MR. LEVINE: Okay. You are in tab 4B. Your Honor,
16 I actually have some excerpts printed out, would you --

17 THE COURT: I can -- it's easier for me to just
18 use my little tabs here. So I'm with you.

19 MR. LEVINE: 4B, and if you go to the end of the
20 prime brokerage agreement --

21 THE COURT: So this is --

22 MR. LEVINE: 4B.

23 THE COURT: -- LBI, prime brokerage agreement.

24 MR. LEVINE: Right, the only prime brokerage
25

1 agreement is with LBI.

2 THE COURT: Well, it says LBI.

3 MR. LEVINE: Yeah, it's with LBI, but this is the
4 one that governed the relationship, there was no separate
5 one with LBIE.

6 THE COURT: Okay. You folks agree with that?

7 MR. BELL: Correct, we do, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm in tab 4B, and what
9 page?

10 MR. LEVINE: And if you go to paragraph 32, so
11 we're towards the end.

12 THE COURT: Numbered paragraph 32.

13 MR. LEVINE: On page 9.

14 THE COURT: Yes, cumulative rights entire
15 agreement.

16 MR. LEVINE: Right. If you go to the second
17 sentence, it's about four lines down, towards the right-hand
18 margin, "to the extent that the provisions of any contracts
19 you have with any Lehman Brothers entity, whether heretofore
20 or hereafter entered into are inconsistent whether the
21 inconsistency be within the contracts or a single contract,
22 the conflict shall be resolved in favor of the provision
23 which affords Lehman Brothers with the maximum rights,
24 remedies, benefits or protections."
25

1 So to the extent that the provisions of a
2 contract, a prior contract heretofore entered into are
3 inconsistent with this, Lehman gets the best provision.

4 THE COURT: Okay. And how does that help with
5 respect to the netting provision?

6 MR. LEVINE: Because if you go to our tab 3, which
7 is our reply brief, attached to our reply brief was the
8 prior prime brokerage agreement, it's Exhibit 1, in terms of
9 the ECF filings, page 27 of 80. Lehman Brothers
10 International Europe LBIE Master Prime Brokerage Agreement.
11 At tab 3, page 27 of 80.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. LEVINE: Okay. So if we go to page -- well,
14 I'm sure there's a page number, it's page 42 of 80, I'm
15 looking at Section 12, termination.

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. LEVINE: "Events of default," of course we
18 have to figure out what an event of default is, "the
19 occurrence of the following events with respect to a party
20 constitutes an event of default in relation to that party,"
21 the defaulting party, the other party being the non-
22 defaulting party.

23 And then we turn to the next page, 12.1D provides
24 "an active insolvency occurs with respect to the party,
25

1 except in the case of an active insolvency which is the
2 presentation of a petition for winding up or any analogous
3 proceeding or the appointment of a liquidator or analogous
4 officer of the defaulting party, in which case, no such
5 notice will be required, the non-defaulting party serves the
6 default notice."

7 Well, from our perspective it's clear that LBIE's
8 appointment of an administrator was covered by D, no notice
9 was required, so there was an event of default, it makes
10 sense LBIE filed, sought administration was an event of
11 default.

12 You go to the next section, Section 13, close-out
13 "on the occurrence of an event of default the following
14 shall immediately occur," Part C provides that the non-
15 defaulting party, Maverick, had to calculate the amounts,
16 and then Section D on page 44 of 80 makes clear that there's
17 automatic net.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. LEVINE: So that under the prime brokerage
20 agreement in effect, there was a provision which said prior
21 agreements that are better for Lehman control, this prior
22 agreement makes clear that there's automatic netting in the
23 event of an event of default.

24 So we think that makes clear that they're netting
25

1 that.

2 THE COURT: So just to encapsulate that, you're
3 saying that notwithstanding that they were right with
4 respect to, I'll call it the LBI PB agreement that under the
5 LBI PB agreement, you have to revert to this constellation
6 of provisions in the previous PB agreement, which was, in
7 fact, with LBIE, and that gave you the advantage of netting
8 notwithstanding the fact that your status as a non-
9 defaulting party.

10 MR. LEVINE: Right, correct. And, you know,
11 frankly I think that's kind of standard and it's kind of
12 surprising to me that the LBI prime brokerage agreement
13 didn't provide for automatic netting. I think this is kind
14 of typical, you know, if things end, you figure out who owes
15 what to what, whom to whom, and you net.

16 So that we think that's very important. And maybe
17 it's time to look at the proofs of claim.

18 THE COURT: Okay. That's tab 5.

19 MR. LEVINE: That's tab 5. As far as I know,
20 they're all the same except for which Maverick fund it is,
21 the amount claimed, and which guarantee they rely on.

22 So the language we're going to look at as far as I
23 know, and I checked very carefully is the same -- each of
24 the six proofs of claim. The difference on the guarantees
25

1 are three of them rely on the corporate resolution, and
2 three of them rely on the 2002 direct guarantee by LBHI.

3 Now, in fact, that 2002 direct guarantee was
4 replaced by a later guarantee, there was a direct guarantee
5 and the one that we think governs here even though it's not
6 mentioned in the proofs of claim, is a direct guarantee,
7 we're not contesting the application of that direct
8 guarantee, we clearly don't accept the fact that the
9 corporation resolution is enforceable, we don't accept the
10 fact that the S&P letter is enforceable, but we think none
11 of that matters for today because there's a direct guarantee
12 which we acknowledge is enforceable.

13 So anyway, so I'm just looking at the very first
14 one behind tab 5 which is the one from Maverick Long
15 Enhanced Fund Limited. And of course, on the first page, on
16 the form page, they check this box if all or part of your
17 claim is based on the guarantee. And then if we actually
18 look at the text, paragraph 1 just recites the filing. The
19 second paragraph 1 provides "this proof of claim is filed in
20 debtor's bankruptcy case by Maverick Long Enhanced Fund
21 Limited. Maverick has a claim, the claim against the debtor
22 on account of the debtor's full guarantee pursuant," and
23 they refer to the corporate resolution, "of the payment of
24 all liabilities, obligations and commitments of LBIE."

25

1 So clearly that paragraph is only talking about
2 the guarantee. If we go to paragraph 2, again recites the
3 three agreements, the prime brokerage agreement, the
4 marginal lending agreement, and the global master securities
5 lending agreement, and then at the very bottom, the last
6 three words on that page it continues, "The Lehman entities
7 agree to provide certain prime brokerage services to
8 Maverick," and then it defines the different agreements as
9 the prime brokerage documents, and that's important, prime
10 brokerage documents, "under the terms of the prime brokerage
11 documents, LBIE has a lien and first priority security
12 interest in certain assets held or controlled by LBIE."

13 Okay. Well, that certainly sounds like a right to
14 net, if you have a lien and first priority security interest
15 on the assets. And it continues in that same vein, "Such
16 assets are held as collateral by LBIE as agent and bailee on
17 behalf of itself and all other affiliates of LBIE, in
18 connection with Maverick's obligations under the prime
19 brokerage documents."

20 Again it sounds like they're admitting that we get
21 to net. Then importantly, the last sentence of paragraph 2
22 reads, "As of the petition date, the Lehman entities had
23 custody of Maverick's assets pursuant to the prime brokerage
24 documents in the amount of 1.286 million," that aggregates
25

1 across the six claims to 187 million.

2 "This proof of claim constitutes a demand for
3 payment under the guarantee." Then, when describing the
4 claim in paragraph 3, "Maverick hereby asserts the claim in
5 the initial amount of that 1.3 million less any amounts owed
6 by Maverick in connection with the prime brokerage
7 documents."

8 Okay. Now, in their opposition, Maverick argues
9 well, that's not netting, well, you know, sounds to me like
10 netting. And they drop a footnote, which also sounds like
11 netting.

12 THE COURT: Footnote 3.

13 MR. LEVINE: Footnote 3. "For the avoidance of
14 doubt, the initial claim amount is a gross claim and does
15 not take into account any amounts or obligations that
16 Maverick may owe to the Lehman entities under the terms of
17 the prime brokerage documents."

18 THE COURT: Well, that is a -- that language is to
19 protect them from an accusation that they overstated the
20 claim.

21 MR. LEVINE: Right, right, but it's certainly
22 consistent --

23 THE COURT: No, I understand.

24 MR. LEVINE: -- to their understanding that they
25

1 only got a net claim.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. LEVINE: Then they say, "the initial claim
4 amount may change depending on the current value of the
5 assets in custody until such time as Maverick exercises any
6 remedies it may have to liquidate the claim." Well, it's
7 claim.

8 Now, we don't agree with that, we think that
9 either it's the petition date under 502 or the termination
10 or rejection date under 562, but that was their claim,
11 that's fine.

12 Then we go with the additional claims, because now
13 remember they're asserting they have direct claims under the
14 PB agreements, and --

15 THE COURT: But they're seeking to amend to assert
16 them, they don't have direct claims under the filed
17 documents, right?

18 MR. LEVINE: Well, as I understand it, they argue
19 that those direct claims are embedded in here.

20 THE COURT: In here?

21 MR. LEVINE: Yes. They have suggested that if
22 they're wrong about that, they'd like to amend, but they
23 don't admit that they need to amend, as I understand their
24 position.

25

1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I can ask them about
2 that.

3 MR. LEVINE: The -- I'm reading just now from
4 paragraph -- from footnote 13 of their opposition, "As noted
5 in footnote 3 of the estimation objection, Maverick's claims
6 also asserted and reserve rights with respect to various
7 other entitlements, such as interest, legal fees, and other
8 damages, including damages for lost investment opportunities
9 and other items."

10 Now, we agree that they (indiscernible) mention
11 interest and fees, but we think it's completely inaccurate
12 to say that the Maverick claims also asserted and reserved
13 rights with respect to other entitlements including damages,
14 including damages for investment opportunities and other
15 items. We just don't think they're here.

16 So we think that to the extent they think they've
17 already asserted them, that's just wrong, and that to the
18 extent that they want to assert them, they have to move to
19 amend the proof of claim, and of course we would argue it's
20 much, much too late for that.

21 THE COURT: Could you address -- there seems to be
22 an argument that somehow this is not capable of being
23 resolved in a sufficiency hearing basis.

24 MR. LEVINE: Well, we think that's just wrong
25

1 because there are no disputes. If 562 applies, we think the
2 -- well, we think that the fact -- our 562 argument relies
3 entirely on legal disputes, that the facts underlying those
4 arguments are not in dispute, that the settlement agreement
5 with LBIE and Maverick was where all the prime brokerage
6 documents were terminated, there was no prior termination.

7 They admit that they got the value of their
8 assets, their cash and securities as of around that date.
9 So those are the only factual issues, we're not disputing
10 the money and I don't think they're disputing the
11 termination.

12 All they're raising are legal arguments, 562
13 doesn't apply because the prime brokerage documents are not
14 securities contracts and are not master netting agreements.
15 And the guarantee is not a securities contract or master
16 netting agreements, we think those are issues of law which
17 Your Honor can resolve.

18 They argue that the prime brokerage documents were
19 only terminated as to LBIE, not as to LBHI. Again, we think
20 there's no dispute about the language of the settlement
21 agreement, the question is what is the legal impact of the
22 termination. Was there anything against LBHI that could
23 have survived and if there was, wasn't -- didn't that mean
24 that it was an executory contract which was rejected on the
25

1 date of the effectiveness of the plan.

2 So we think that clearly under the 562 argument,
3 it clearly can be resolved because the facts that we rely on
4 are not in dispute, or we're not disputing them at least for
5 purposes of this hearing, and they're just legal issues.

6 There's also the question of netting. We think
7 that -- or their right to diminution damages-- we think that
8 clearly is another legal issue. The language of the prime
9 brokerage documents and the exculpatory language, we think
10 as a matter of law, as Your Honor has held in three
11 different cases and consistent with MF Global precludes
12 diminution damages.

13 So that we think Your Honor -- so even if Your
14 Honor rejects the 562(a) argument, you certainly can rule as
15 a matter of law that there are no diminution damages, which
16 would then just leave a question of whether they're entitled
17 to the 4.3 million net amount owed to them as of the
18 petition date or some greater or lesser amount I guess.

19 But it seems to me, you know, let me -- because as
20 you know, I have never gotten over show and tell, I can't
21 leave without a handout, so I'd like to hand up one and
22 counsel and approach the bench.

23 THE COURT: And then I'll ask you to wrap up.

24 MR. LEVINE: Okay.

25

1 THE COURT: This is just a demonstrative, right?

2 MR. LEVINE: This is just a demonstrative. These
3 are numbers. So -- okay. So the top of this is supposed to
4 be our summary of the proofs of claim.

5 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

6 MR. LEVINE: And as we see it, it's purely a
7 guarantee claim in which they seek 187.3 million in the
8 aggregate, but expressly, as we saw when we look at the
9 sample proof of claim, expressly minus any amounts owed by
10 Maverick back to LBIE, and they think fees and expenses
11 which are unliquidated and interest which is unliquidated,
12 and we don't think they're entitled to those either for the
13 normal reasons, and then no other claims asserted.

14 Then in their current theory which comes from
15 their objection to the estimation motion, and their response
16 to our objection to their claim, there are guarantee claim
17 components, in which they assert that not 187.3 million, but
18 the value of their long positions, just their long positions
19 without netting was 118.1 at the petition date, that they
20 received 101.9 million in value as of the settlement date,
21 and therefore, that difference is the 16.2 they're asking
22 for we say that since you value it under 562 with the
23 settlement date, there's nothing that's owed to them.

24 Fees and expenses and interest are still
25

1 unliquidated. In terms of the second page, there are other
2 claims, they're now saying they have asserted, they want to
3 amend to assert direct claims under the prime brokerage
4 documents. Those do not seek any incremental damages, so as
5 we understand it, it's still the 16.2 as opposed to the
6 187.3 on the proofs of claim.

7 Now, we obviously have various responses that are
8 not asserted in the proofs of claim, LBHI did not assume any
9 broker dealer obligations, and all the other defenses that
10 apply to the guarantee claims apply.

11 And then they have consequential damages. And all
12 we know about the consequential damages are what's in that
13 footnote I read to you before, which simply says that they
14 have consequential damages without offering any factual
15 support, any -- well, let me read it to you.

16 In addition -- this is from the estimation motion
17 objection footnote 3 --

18 THE COURT: Which is tab?

19 MR. LEVINE: Tab 4, I'm sorry. Tab 4, page 4.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. LEVINE: Footnote 13, and they basically
22 repeat this in their response to the objection motion they
23 refer back to this paragraph. But this is basically all
24 they said about --
25

1 THE COURT: Other damages.

2 MR. LEVINE: -- other damages, or lost investment
3 opportunities is what they call it.

4 "In addition to the 16.2 million recoverable by
5 Maverick, the Maverick entities proofs of claim also
6 reserved the right to seek interest and legal fees and also
7 generally asserted and preserved the right to pursue all
8 amounts payable in connection with the prime brokerage
9 agreements."

10 And actually what it says is "the prime brokerage
11 documents," which is a full list of agreements, not just the
12 PB agreements, and the guarantees. "Although Maverick
13 believes the \$16.2 still owed to it provides ample
14 justification to deny the estimation motion with respect to
15 the Maverick entitiesMaverick expects that it may in the
16 future continue to pursue any rights it may have to collect
17 interest, legal fees, or other damages, including damages
18 for lost investment opportunities or other items."

19 And that footnote is basically repeated in their
20 most recent objection, but lost investment opportunities, I
21 mean, we know that, under New York law, it's virtually --

22 THE COURT: You don't have to spend time on this.

23 MR. LEVINE: Okay. The final thing is I got
24 handed a note by Mr. Fail, let me just make a couple of
25

1 points that he asked me to make.

2 Another reason that Section 562 applies to the
3 guarantee claims is that 562 talks about damages, it's not -
4 - in their papers they kind of suggest 562 is a measure of a
5 claim, how much a claim should be, but that's not right.
6 562 talks about damages. And then they say, well, New York
7 law says you could calculate damages on the date of breach,
8 and I agree with that.

9 But here we have a Bankruptcy Code provision,
10 which under the supremacy clause controls, which says that
11 if under 562, if 562 applies, damages are measured as of the
12 termination date, rejection date, liquidation date.

13 And finally, we think that a fundamental problem
14 with Maverick's approach under 562 is that it would suggest
15 that where 562 applies to a primary obligor, it might not
16 apply to a guarantor --

17 THE COURT: You have a two tiered system with two
18 sets of books.

19 MR. LEVINE: -- two tier, you got it, Your Honor.

20 Then I'll sit down and let Your Honor -- thank you
21 very much for your patience.

22 THE COURT: Sure.

23 MR. FAIL: Can I just correct? Sorry. One more
24 thing and I won't correct Rick, Garrett Fail, Weil Gotshal
25

1 for the record. Your Honor asked the question before if we
2 were aware of any claims against LBHI that had a primary
3 obligor of LBIE that were allowed, I said I wasn't. I've
4 since had a chance to check with my client, there was one
5 claim that was allowed where the amount received by the
6 counterparty was less than the claim allowed by LBIE or the
7 claim asserted against LBHI due to certain unique
8 circumstances of an agreement.

9 So we allowed one guarantee claim against LBHI,
10 where we appropriately think the guarantee should be paid.
11 In terms of the estimation motion, I said that there were
12 largely two parties that were still disputing that. There
13 are two additional claims, plus or minus a million dollars
14 each that are also outstanding --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. FAIL: -- that are disputing it. Other
17 parties have claims outstanding with respect to estimation,
18 but they won't be litigated if there's an agreement that
19 those claims will be withdrawn on a date certain in the
20 future.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. FAIL: And in terms of claims that are being
23 litigated, other LBIE related, we spoke about SRM, Newport
24 and Providence you're aware of.

25

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. FAIL: And there's one additional claim that
3 I'm aware of, Highland, which is in mediation, a \$5 million
4 claim, so I just wanted to be complete for the record.

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 MR. FAIL: All the unique circumstances.

7 THE COURT: It was just by way of background for
8 me, it's neither here nor there in terms of legal arguments.

9 MR. FAIL: I agree, thank you.

10 MR. ROLL: Good morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: How are you?

12 MR. ROLL: I'm fine, Your Honor, how are you?

13 THE COURT: Good.

14 MR. ROLL: William Roll of Shearman & Sterling
15 appearing on behalf of the Maverick entities. I'm here with
16 my colleague, Randall Martin.

17 Just a program note if I might, Your Honor --

18 THE COURT: Sure.

19 MR. ROLL: -- if it's all right with the Court, I
20 was hoping to afford Mr. Martin the opportunity to argue at
21 least some of this because he's --

22 THE COURT: Of course.

23 MR. ROLL: -- done 99 percent of the work, so he
24 should have at least some of the fun.

25

1 THE COURT: Delighted.

2 MR. ROLL: My intention is if we get to things
3 like the exculpatory provisions --

4 THE COURT: Sure.

5 MR. ROLL: -- and the proofs of claim, I'm going
6 to turn that over to him.

7 THE COURT: Sure. I want to ask you a couple of
8 questions, though --

9 MR. ROLL: Of course.

10 THE COURT: -- right off the bat.

11 So suppose there was no Lehman filing broadly
12 speaking and life just went on.

13 MR. ROLL: Yes.

14 THE COURT: Right? And the Maverick entities
15 decided to part ways with LBIE. And they terminated the
16 prime brokerage agreement.

17 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

18 THE COURT: Give me back my stuff, we're going to
19 go to another broker dealer.

20 MR. ROLL: Right.

21 THE COURT: Okay. And at that moment in time, the
22 custody and securities were worth less than what they were
23 when you gave them to LBIE.

24 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

25

1 THE COURT: Would you have a right to call in the
2 guarantee for the diminution in value?

3 MR. ROLL: Well, there would be no diminution in
4 value, as Your Honor has articulated that hypothetical,
5 because we would be looking at just that one date.

6 THE COURT: I'm sorry, no, my hypothetical is that
7 on the -- at the commencement of the relationship with the
8 prime broker --

9 MR. ROLL: Right.

10 THE COURT: -- with LBHI as a guarantor --

11 MR. ROLL: Right.

12 THE COURT: -- you -- custodies, securities that
13 were say a billion dollars --

14 MR. ROLL: Correct.

15 THE COURT: -- okay. And then you decide you're
16 going to go with a different broker dealer, right, and you
17 terminate. And you say to LBIE, give me back my stuff,
18 right. And LBIE says, sure, here's your stuff. Okay. Or
19 LBIE says, and you agree, well, we don't want back our
20 stuff, we just want the value of our stuff. And the value
21 of the stuff on that date is half a million dollars less,
22 right. You get to go ask for that half a million dollars
23 from your guarantor?

24 MR. ROLL: No.

25

1 THE COURT: Why not?

2 MR. ROLL: Because we would have -- well, Your
3 Honor started with a very big if. No bankruptcy filing, so
4 we're in a normal relationship, so we, Maverick, would be
5 taking a risk in that circumstance of asking for its
6 securities back --

7 THE COURT: Why is that --

8 MR. ROLL: -- at a time when the value would've
9 diminished.

10 THE COURT: Why does that not apply in this
11 situation?

12 MR. ROLL: Because of the application of 502 in
13 the Bankruptcy Code, because of the fact that --

14 THE COURT: So you get more in bankruptcy than you
15 would out of bankruptcy?

16 MR. ROLL: Because --

17 THE COURT: You get an enhanced claim against a
18 bankrupt guarantor.

19 MR. ROLL: In these -- in the circumstances
20 presented here --

21 THE COURT: That's pretty good stuff.

22 MR. ROLL: It's good stuff, but it's consistent
23 with the law. And I think it's important to note that Your
24 Honor's hypothetical, it's a fair question, but in that
25

1 circumstance, Maverick could have taken -- made the choice
2 at any point along the way to say, give me my stuff back.

3 THE COURT: Right.

4 MR. ROLL: With the bankruptcy having intervened,
5 we didn't have that choice. So in Your Honor's hypothetical
6 we could have said, well, you know --

7 THE COURT: You realize what you just said. When
8 the bankruptcy intervened, you didn't have that choice. So
9 that's consistent with the application of the exculpation.
10 It's the absence of choice that was --

11 MR. ROLL: In terms of the number, because --
12 that's all I was really getting at, Your Honor. Because
13 when the bankruptcy intervened, when -- and let's, you know
14 --

15 THE COURT: Go back to my hypothetical though,
16 because a guarantee is a guarantee is a guarantee --

17 MR. ROLL: Correct.

18 THE COURT: -- according to you.

19 MR. ROLL: Right.

20 THE COURT: So when you -- you get a guarantee
21 from LBHI parent --

22 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

23 THE COURT: -- and then you decide to terminate --

24 MR. ROLL: Right.

25

1 THE COURT: -- and your stuff is worth less, you
2 have a guarantee. Well, I don't understand why out of
3 bankruptcy you would not be able to then say, make good on
4 the guarantee.

5 MR. ROLL: We have a guarantee for whatever the
6 obligation is at that point.

7 THE COURT: At the point of the termination.

8 MR. ROLL: No, no. In this particular instance,
9 there was no termination. The value of the guarantee
10 derives from the claim we're able to assert under Section
11 502 of the Bankruptcy Code against LBIE on or LBHI and LBIE
12 on the petition date --

13 THE COURT: But the claim was unliquidated on the
14 petition date.

15 MR. ROLL: Understood. But New York law says,
16 it's a New York law governed contract, New York law says the
17 damages are measured from the date of the breach. The
18 breach occurred on the date they went into administration,
19 which is the same date that LBHI filed for Chapter 11.

20 So that's when things begin. And on that date, we
21 have a claim against LBIE under New York law for the amount
22 of the securities, i.e., you know, what they're holding
23 because they breached the obligations to return those.

24 We have a claim under the guarantee, as of that
25

1 same date for that same amount, but we can't exercise it, we
2 can't exercise the right under the guarantee because LBHI
3 has filed.

4 So at that point and from that point, we have a
5 claim for 118, at least 118, the value of the securities at
6 that point and cash, which they don't dispute. Every day
7 from that point, until we get to March of 2012, and under
8 the LBHI theory today, everything changes at that point.

9 They say, well, the settlement agreement is
10 entered into, it effectuates a payment in full to Maverick,
11 the liability under all the agreements, including the
12 guarantee, is extinguished and everybody goes home. But
13 that's not quite right.

14 That doesn't take into account the -- two things,
15 two sets of things. The first thing is the facts, what
16 actually happened at that point, which is there was a --
17 LBIE's liability and the damages was actually compromised at
18 that point, following a real live negotiation engaged in by
19 real live people coming up with a real live agreement, which
20 Mr. Levine quoted from, and I'm going to quote from too.

21 That said because of the positions taken in that
22 negotiation and because of the application of UK insolvency
23 law, all you're going to get, Maverick, at this point, is
24 the value that UK insolvency law would say you get, which is
25

1 the value of the cash and securities at that point, the
2 101.9.

3 But it didn't change what was owed to us under the
4 guarantee, the amount of the claim for which was fixed as of
5 the petition date, unless there's some basis on which it can
6 be set that got terminated, and this was a question Your
7 Honor put to Mr. Levine at the very beginning of his
8 argument, you know, which is -- if it's not terminated --

9 THE COURT: But you're assuming your conclusion.
10 You're assuming that simply because there was an LBHI
11 petition date --

12 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

13 THE COURT: -- and you can come up with a number -
14 -

15 MR. ROLL: Right.

16 THE COURT: -- a value of the securities on that
17 petition date, that that's the amount you get to assert
18 against LBHI on the guarantee. But that's not an argument,
19 that's just ipse dixit, I mean --

20 MR. ROLL: Under 502, the amount of a claim is
21 fixed as of petition.

22 THE COURT: But that assumes that 562(a) does not
23 apply.

24 MR. ROLL: Well, 562(a) does not apply, and I can
25

1 go right into that if Your Honor would like. This may be
2 the nub of it, you know, they say the agreement -- the
3 guarantee was terminated for two sets of reasons --

4 THE COURT: Forget about whether it's terminated
5 or not. Assume it's not terminated.

6 MR. ROLL: If the guarantee -- if it is not
7 terminated and if the guarantee was not terminated and 562
8 does not apply, there should be absolutely no dispute that
9 we're entitled to what Section 502 would say we can assert
10 against the guarantor, which is the value as of the petition
11 date. Their entire argument on sufficiency rests on the
12 notion that we were somehow paid in full, which relates to
13 the very "facy" set of issues surrounding the numbers, and
14 I was going to get to --

15 THE COURT: What do you mean "facy" issues
16 surrounding the numbers? There's no facts surrounding the
17 numbers. You say you should be able to assert a claim on
18 the guarantee for the 118 so essentially you can get
19 distributions from LBHI that would catch you up and give you
20 full recovery on the 118 as opposed to the 101, that you've
21 already gotten.

22 MR. ROLL: I was referring to the back and forth
23 between the parties in the negotiations, the evidence of
24 which is not at all before the Court at this stage, that
25

1 resulted in the number of 101.9 at the time we did the
2 settlement with LBIE, that's what I was referring to.

3 But the second part of their, you know, you-were-
4 paid-in-full argument is that the guarantee was terminated,
5 and therefore 562 kicks in and that's how you measure the
6 damages. But let's think about that. 562 requires at least
7 three things, a specific party, a specific kind of an
8 agreement, and a specific action being undertaken on behalf
9 -- by that party with respect to that agreement.

10 We're not going to fight about, you know, the
11 specific party here, you know, whether it's a securities
12 contract or whatever, but we do take issue with the notion
13 that the guarantee was actually terminated in connection
14 with the settlement, because it clearly was not.

15 The settlement agreement itself says, quite
16 clearly that it's terminating the relationship between LBIE
17 and Maverick and not anybody else, and it specifically
18 reserves to Maverick the right to pursue claims against any
19 other Lehman entity.

20 THE COURT: Sure, but you know, this is where you
21 go into your, you know, your Ivanhoe argument which you
22 think is a show stopper. But the fact of the matter is that
23 all of that only stands for the proposition that had claims
24 against LBIE, claims -- if LBIE had not been a 100 percent
25

1 payor, you would unquestionably be able to assert the full
2 amount of that claim against LBHI so that you could become
3 paid in full, but you got paid in full by LBIE on the 101.

4 MR. ROLL: We got paid 101, but that was not --
5 that was clearly not payment in full in the eyes of the
6 Maverick people.

7 THE COURT: So let me ask you a different
8 question. Let's take a little walk around the Bankruptcy
9 Code.

10 MR. ROLL: Okay.

11 THE COURT: 502(b)(6).

12 MR. ROLL: 502(b)(6), okay.

13 THE COURT: All right. The cap on lease rejection
14 damages.

15 MR. ROLL: Okay.

16 THE COURT: See, he is smarter than you, he knows
17 exactly what it is.

18 MR. MARTIN: We were going to bring up this
19 example, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So 502(b)(6) says
21 if, you know, if you reject a lease, your damages are capped
22 pursuant to 502(b)(6).

23 MR. ROLL: Right.

24 THE COURT: Right. And the landlord might say,
25

1 well, that's really nice, tenant debtor, but I've got this
2 nice guarantee. Okay. So I would think that you would tell
3 me, see, that's just like this, we've got this guarantee so
4 that the allowance of the lesser amount pursuant to the
5 Bankruptcy Code doesn't mean that I don't get to assert the
6 full amount of my damages against my guarantor, right?

7 MR. ROLL: Correct.

8 THE COURT: Okay. However, under the Bankruptcy
9 Code if your guarantor is a debtor it doesn't work that way,
10 the cap applies.

11 So this notion that there's some greater ability
12 to recover under applicable -- I put in air quotes, although
13 air quotes have been much maligned nowadays -- applicable
14 non-bankruptcy law, you know, doesn't necessarily fly. And
15 here I draw an analogy to the way 562(a) works both as a
16 matter of policy and actually by its terms --

17 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

18 THE COURT: -- to rebut the concept that, you
19 know, a guarantee, is a guarantee, is a guarantee, and you
20 get more than your -- the obligation owed to you by your
21 primary obligor, which is in fact what you're seeking.

22 MR. ROLL: Right.

23 THE COURT: You're seeking more than what your
24 primary obligor owed you.

25

1 MR. ROLL: Because of the operation of UK
2 insolvency rules we get the value of the securities on that
3 date. And there is law from the southern district, cited in
4 our papers, which they don't deal with, unless I missed it,
5 and I don't think I did, the Instituto (ph) case on page 14
6 of our --

7 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

8 MR. ROLL: -- objection, which basically says that
9 the discharge -- a guarantor's obligations on the guarantee
10 are not discharged by reason of discharge of the primary
11 obligor's obligations as a result of foreign bankruptcy law.
12 That's still good law from the district court here, and they
13 don't address it at.

14 So as a result of that that discharge at the level
15 of 101.9 as a result of the negotiations we had with them
16 over what counted and what didn't to get to the final
17 number, means that we still retain the full amount of the
18 claim against the guarantor, you know, regardless of what
19 happens in connection with the foreign bankruptcy
20 proceeding.

21 So you still have that, and if you combine that
22 with Ivanhoe that means we can still recover -- we can still
23 assert the full amount of the claim against the co-
24 obligor/guarantor up to the point of payment in full,
25

1 payment in full being defined by New York law in this
2 circumstance, because damages are measured as of the date of
3 the breach, against the guarantor.

4 So -- and I sense that Your Honor apprehends that
5 this is the argument, because this is where the Court
6 started with Mr. Levine, so I know that I'm not saying
7 anything that Your Honor doesn't already know that we're
8 going to say, but that really is the nub of the argument.

9 What I would say with respect to the hypothetical
10 scenarios and the 506(b), you know, I'm not smart enough to
11 parse 506(b) on the fly, maybe Mr. Martin can do it, he
12 can --

13 THE COURT: 502(b)(6).

14 MR. ROLL: 502(b)(6), I'm sorry -- you know, maybe
15 he can do it and fix whatever I've left unfixed at that
16 point, but respectfully we're not dealing with a
17 hypothetical circumstance.

18 THE COURT: But we're not -- but we're also not
19 dealing with New York law, we're dealing with the Bankruptcy
20 Code and we're dealing with the operation of 562(a) --

21 MR. ROLL: Right.

22 THE COURT: -- under the Bankruptcy Code two
23 securities contracts.

24 MR. ROLL: But -- right.
25

1 THE COURT: Which is -- the prime brokerage
2 agreement certainly is, and which the guarantee certainly
3 is.

4 MR. ROLL: Well we'll assume that for the purposes
5 of this argument, but that's only two -- that's two-thirds
6 -- or that's one-third, and I'll concede the other third --

7 THE COURT: Why isn't --

8 MR. ROLL: Because it was not terminated. It was
9 not terminated in connection with the settlement, period,
10 full stop, because of what the parties did and because of
11 what the documents provide.

12 THE COURT: Well but there's terminate and there's
13 terminated, right? To the extent that the underlying
14 relationship terminates, right, let's go back to my
15 502(b)(6) example --

16 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

17 THE COURT: -- to the extent that the underlying
18 relationship terminates I'm not exactly sure what the
19 termination of a guarantee means. There are no ongoing --
20 there's no ongoing relationship between the primary obligor
21 and the primary obligee, but the obligation of the guarantor
22 to pay it is what it is as of that moment in time.

23 MR. ROLL: But the guarantee itself tells us what
24 it means for the guarantee to not exist -- for the
25

1 obligations under the guarantee to not exist. And we should
2 look at that. There's only one instance in which the
3 guarantee itself -- and this is the one that's toward the
4 back of the book, I forget which tab it is -- but it's the
5 one that the parties have referred to as the LBHI guarantee.

6 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

7 MR. ROLL: 4(c).

8 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

9 MR. ROLL: If Your Honor would look at the --

10 THE COURT: But you have to deal with the language
11 that says that -- the termination language that says that
12 all obligations --

13 MR. ROLL: Uh-huh.

14 THE COURT: -- are terminated.

15 MR. ROLL: You're talking about the language in
16 the settlement agreement.

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MR. ROLL: All obligations of LBIE.

19 THE COURT: No, but that's not exactly right.

20 Let me -- you show me what you wanted to show me.

21 MR. ROLL: I'll show -- well let's go to the --
22 let's talk about the settlement agreement first --

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 MR. ROLL: -- because we're on it, and then we'll
25

1 go back to the guarantee.

2 THE COURT: What's -- give me the tab, please.

3 MR. MARTIN: 4(e).

4 MR. ROLL: 4(e) for the settlement agreement.

5 THE COURT: 4(e). Okay.

6 MR. ROLL: If Your Honor would look at paragraph
7 2.1(a), I think this is one of those that Mr. Levine may
8 have actually pointed Your Honor to.

9 THE COURT: Yep.

10 MR. ROLL: But it says the relevant agreements and
11 all transactions thereunder --

12 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

13 MR. ROLL: -- are terminated as between LBIE and
14 each Maverick entity. It doesn't say anything about LBHI,
15 it doesn't say anything about the --

16 THE COURT: No, I'm thinking of --

17 MR. ROLL: -- guarantee.

18 THE COURT: -- a different provision. I'll have
19 to find it.

20 MR. ROLL: Okay. But this one doesn't go away, I
21 mean this one is here.

22 The second part of that, subsection (b) says that
23 this deed shall be a full and final settlement of all
24 rights, obligations, liabilities, and claims, et cetera, et
25

1 cetera, which LBIE and each Maverick entity may have against
2 one another, including, but not limited to, and it goes on
3 from there. And it doesn't say anything about LBHI.

4 THE COURT: Well --

5 MR. ROLL: And they knew about LBHI at the time
6 and they knew about the guarantee.

7 You can then look at paragraph 2.3, which is the
8 release language, the releases, which are actually once
9 litigated you can say that's the most operative part of any
10 settlement agreement most important, and the one where it's
11 most important to be clear provides that it's only the
12 Maverick entities and LBIE that are releasing each other
13 with respect to all claims.

14 Again, the parties knew about LBHI and the
15 guarantee.

16 THE COURT: But the guarantee says that the
17 guarantee will remain in full force and effect until the
18 first to occur of --

19 MR. ROLL: Right.

20 THE COURT: -- the obligations defined term, no
21 longer in existence, and the prime brokerage guarantee
22 defines obligations as all obligations under the agreements,
23 a defined term, and the defined term agreements includes the
24 prime brokerage agreements, the GMSLAs, and the letter
25

1 agreement, and the MLAs. So --

2 MR. ROLL: But the obligations no longer being in
3 existence is not a termination under this language.

4 Termination is -- this is the point I was trying to get to
5 before. Termination is effectuated by notice. Obligations
6 no longer being in existence means, has to be based on the
7 other language in this document, paid in full, and that has
8 to mean --

9 THE COURT: You're ignoring the language --

10 MR. ROLL: -- under New York law.

11 THE COURT: -- that says the guarantee will remain
12 in full force and effect.

13 MR. ROLL: Right. Until the first --

14 THE COURT: Until the obligations are no longer in
15 existence.

16 MR. ROLL: But the obligations are in fact in
17 existence. The obligations are still in existence because
18 we have not been paid in full --

19 THE COURT: Can you hold on one second?

20 MR. ROLL: Certainly.

21 THE COURT: Hold on one second.

22 (Pause)

23 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Roll, sorry.

24 MR. ROLL: I would just contend, Your Honor, that
25

1 that -- you know, termination is the trigger for 562. My
2 point is that the obligations no longer being in existence
3 is not a termination point, it has to do with actual payment
4 in full.

5 And the reason I say that is, that position is
6 consistent with the rest of the language in the agreement,
7 which actually indicates that there are certain
8 circumstances in which the obligations still remain. In
9 fact the very next sentence. Termination of this guarantee
10 shall not affect the guarantor's liability hereunder as to
11 the obligations incurred or arising out of transactions
12 entered into it prior to the termination thereof.

13 So obligations still being in existence is
14 separate and apart from termination. It's a separate
15 concept.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. ROLL: And there's actually another provision
18 in here that says the obligations can spring back to life if
19 they -- even if they've been paid in full and a bankruptcy
20 proceeding allows them to be avoided -- payments to Maverick
21 to be avoided.

22 So termination and obligations being paid in full
23 are two different concepts in this guarantee, and I think it
24 was carefully drafted for that reason, and -- or to
25

1 establish that or to leave to be a readily ascertainable
2 inference to draw from the document.

3 And it must mean, I think any way, and we've made
4 this point in the papers, that paid in full doesn't relate
5 to terminating the obligations of LBIE as a result of the
6 operation of UK insolvency law and the settlement and
7 whatever was done there and whatever was left open or not,
8 but rather New York law, which says the damages are measured
9 as of the petition date, which is the date of the breach.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to -- we should
11 turn to anything you want to say about exculpation and we
12 should turn to anything you want to say about direct claims
13 and/or amendments.

14 MR. ROLL: Yeah, on that, Your Honor, I'll turn it
15 over to Mr. Martin who will cover exculpation.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. ROLL: Probably the other items as well, but
18 if not I can come back on this.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. ROLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 MR. MARTIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Good morning.

23 MR. MARTIN: Thank you for indulging our --

24 THE COURT: No problem.

25

1 MR. MARTIN: -- tag team presentation.

2 THE COURT: Always like it when the younger person
3 teaches the older person a thing or two.

4 MR. MARTIN: I don't think that's going to happen
5 today, but --

6 MR. ROLL: I actually appreciate it too, Your
7 Honor.

8 MR. MARTIN: Could I touch on briefly the example
9 in the question that you opened with to Mr. Roll?

10 THE COURT: Sure.

11 MR. MARTIN: Because I think that you're creating
12 a hypothetical that can't and won't happen in the real
13 world, and it is not the case that we are asserting a claim
14 for one penny more than we are entitled to outside of
15 bankruptcy.

16 You raised the hypothetical in which we went --

17 THE COURT: Hold on one second.

18 (Pause)

19 THE COURT: Go ahead.

20 MR. MARTIN: You proposed a hypothetical in which
21 we wanted to terminate our relationship with the Lehman
22 entities outside of bankruptcy.

23 THE COURT: Uh-huh

24 MR. MARTIN: Every day outside of bankruptcy that
25

1 we have a long position open, we're betting on Apple stock,
2 for instance, we are assuming the risk that Apple stock goes
3 up or down.

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. MARTIN: So it's in custody with them, but
6 every day we have it with them --

7 THE COURT: You do have that risk, right.

8 MR. MARTIN: And so if we tell them we want to
9 terminate the relationship, please give us our securities
10 back or the monetary equivalent --

11 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

12 MR. MARTIN: -- they have to give it back to us.

13 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

14 MR. MARTIN: If they take two years to execute
15 that instruction and during that two years after which we've
16 told them we don't want to be invested in Apple stock --

17 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

18 MR. MARTIN: -- we get the Apple stock back and
19 its declined in value --

20 THE COURT: Right. That's --

21 MR. MARTIN: -- in that case, yes, the guarantee
22 kicks in.

23 THE COURT: That's a fair clarification.

24 But here this goes right -- this takes us right to
25

1 exculpation. Here LBIE filed.

2 MR. MARTIN: It filed, that's right.

3 THE COURT: And that's what caused the delay.

4 MR. MARTIN: I don't think that our claim was
5 caused by a bankruptcy. Our claim is based on the fact --

6 THE COURT: Why didn't you get your securities
7 back sooner? You didn't get your securities back sooner
8 because there was a bankruptcy.

9 MR. MARTIN: But surely that's not what the force
10 majeure clause was intended to capture and pick up.

11 THE COURT: You don't think?

12 MR. MARTIN: It talks about tornados, it talks
13 about insurrections, it does not reference --

14 THE COURT: It does not reference --

15 MR. MARTIN: -- bankruptcy.

16 THE COURT: -- specifically -- well Judge Glenn in
17 MF Global certainly thought that suspension of trading by
18 SIPA with respect to LBI was pretty much of a financial
19 tornado.

20 MR. MARTIN: Respectfully we think he's wrong.
21 It's a term of art in the financial world. On suspension of
22 trading I think we have a half page footnote --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. MARTIN: -- citing what a suspension of
25

1 trading means.

2 Critically I also think that the MF Global
3 language and exculpation clause also included a reference to
4 all laws. This one does not. So there is an important
5 distinction between the language that was at issue in that
6 case and our language.

7 And the other thing, Your Honor, that makes it I
8 think crystal clear to me, that the guarantee could not have
9 been eviscerated by a force majeure clause, is that the
10 guarantee itself says as much.

11 I'm at the third paragraph of paragraph -- of tab
12 C --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. MARTIN: -- behind tab 4 --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. MARTIN: -- and there's a provision in the
17 guarantee that expressly notes that the obligations under
18 the guarantee kick in if we are the subject of a claw-back
19 resulting from a preference.

20 THE COURT: Where are you?

21 MR. MARTIN: It's the third full paragraph down,
22 Your Honor. It's about two-thirds of the way down.

23 THE COURT: This guarantee is?

24 MR. MARTIN: Correct. And if you read that whole
25

1 paragraph what you'll see is that it expressly and
2 unambiguously contemplates that they will have to pay us
3 under the guarantee if we are the subject of a claw-back
4 with respect to a preference or a fraudulent conveyance.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. MARTIN: That can mean one thing only.

7 Now, I know we're not making a claim based on a
8 preference and I know we're not making a claim based on a
9 fraudulent conveyance, but what this unambiguously means is
10 that if as a consequence of a bankruptcy we don't get paid
11 in full this guarantee is triggered. The parties said as
12 much.

13 And what else is a guarantee for?

14 THE COURT: It doesn't say that, let alone
15 unambiguously say that, but it's interesting.

16 MR. MARTIN: A preference would be consequence of
17 a bankruptcy.

18 THE COURT: I'm going to decline your invitation
19 to go down that path, because that's not what this says.
20 It's --

21 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, we had some points to
22 make on netting as well if you'd like to hear those. I
23 don't know if those are the --

24 THE COURT: I actually would not. I think I'm
25

1 okay on netting.

2 The only other thing that I'm interested in
3 hearing is about this concept that there's either an
4 embedded direct claim in the claims that were filed or that
5 the Maverick entities ought to be allowed to amend to assert
6 a direct claim, which seems to include some notion of lost
7 investment opportunities. Is that on you or Mr. Roll?

8 MR. MARTIN: I can handle it, Your Honor.

9 : That's perfectly fine. Counsel is being
10 generous to us and preserving our right.

11 We're not taking the position that there is a
12 direct claim embedded in the proof of claim.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Because I didn't see.

14 MR. MARTIN: And it's not there.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. MARTIN: However, and this is critical, and
17 this speaks importantly to a point that you were discussing
18 with Mr. Roll. An absolute and unconditional guarantee of
19 payment is a standalone document under New York law and a
20 standalone series of obligations.

21 So our point on the direct claim is that it is so
22 similar to the claim asserted with respect to the guarantee
23 claim that we should be permitted an opportunity to amend,
24 if necessary, although that only comes into play if you
25

1 disagree with Mr. Roll that 562 doesn't apply because the
2 guarantee wasn't terminated. We don't need the direct claim
3 otherwise so there's no need for you to resolve that unless
4 you go against us on 562.

5 THE COURT: But here's the part I don't
6 understand. If you think you have a claim for the delta
7 between 101- and 118- --

8 MR. MARTIN: Uh-huh.

9 THE COURT: -- which you do.

10 MR. MARTIN: Correct.

11 THE COURT: Okay? Then you think you have a claim
12 for lost investment opportunities, because I assume that the
13 theory of the claim is that if we had had that \$5 million
14 for that period of time we would have invested in all this
15 great stuff and we would have made all this money.

16 So the notion that you have that claim but you
17 didn't assert it, it just doesn't make any sense.

18 MR. MARTIN: You're switching I think from the
19 direct claim to the consequential damages claim, and those
20 are two different things in my mind. And I do think, Your
21 Honor, the proof of claim did adequately address our theory
22 of consequential damages.

23 THE COURT: You think a guarantee claim includes a
24 claim for loss investment opportunities?

25

1 MR. MARTIN: I think our proof of claim --

2 THE COURT: Really?

3 MR. MARTIN: -- adequately stated -- yes, Your
4 Honor.

5 THE COURT: Where does it say that?

6 MR. MARTIN: Under tab 5, page 2, the first --
7 sorry -- the last sentence, it's about halfway down on page
8 2 in Roman I.

9 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

10 MR. MARTIN: This is a very broad and very general
11 statement, Your Honor. We said, "this proof of claim
12 constitutes a demand for payment under the guarantee". That
13 refers to a payment of any amount owed as a result of the
14 breach of the guarantee which occurred under New York State
15 law as of the petition date.

16 THE COURT: So you can say to me today that
17 statement means that we have a claim for lost investment
18 opportunities for \$50 million? Surprise LBHI, how's your
19 reserve looking? I mean that's --

20 MR. MARTIN: There should be --

21 THE COURT: -- it doesn't work that way. Okay?
22 It doesn't work that way.

23 MR. MARTIN: Are there any other points that Your
24 Honor would like to hear addressed?
25

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Thank you. Clean up, anyone?

4 MR. LEVINE: Yeah, Your Honor, I'd appreciate -- I
5 can be very quick.

6 THE COURT: I'm going to hold you to that.

7 MR. LEVINE: Fair enough. You've been very
8 generous with your time.

9 Okay. So the first thing, I just wanted to
10 enforce a few things that Your Honor questioned counsel
11 about. In terms of the exculpation provision and what
12 happened after LBIE filed for administration, on page 8 of
13 their response --

14 THE COURT: And counsel cited a district court
15 case that says it's dispositive in their favor of that
16 argument that --

17 MR. LEVINE: Well what I was going to point you
18 to, Your Honor --

19 THE COURT: Yeah.

20 MR. LEVINE: -- was their own statement. I'm
21 reading from their brief, and you know, that in opposition
22 to the current objection, the one they filed.

23 LBIE -- under the -- this is tab 2, page 8, if you
24 want to read along, paragraph 22. "The Maverick claims are
25

1 straightforward. Under the prime brokerage agreements and
2 the New York Uniform Commercial Code, LBIE was obligated to
3 return all custodied, cash and securities to the Maverick
4 entities upon request. LBIE, however, was not in the
5 position to do so after having commenced its administration
6 proceedings".

7 To me that necessarily would require rulings, that
8 is, under clause 28 of the PB agreement, "You'll agree that
9 Lehman Brothers will be liable for any loss caused, directly
10 or indirectly, by government restrictions, exchange or
11 market rulings" -- that doesn't really apply - "suspension
12 of trading..." They couldn't perform. They admit the
13 reason they have a loss is because once LBIE filed for
14 administration, it wasn't able to trade, it wasn't able to
15 perform. To me that's clearly covered.

16 In terms of the lost opportunities, the last
17 sentence of paragraph 29 of the PB agreement says, "in no
18 event will Lehman Brothers be liable for any special,
19 indirect, incidental or consequential damages." Lost
20 investment opportunities are quintessential consequential
21 damages. Consequential damages you almost never get unless
22 you have a specific instrument you can point to.

23 In terms of the guarantee. Your Honor, I think,
24 was completely on point when you were directing counsel to
25

1 the last paragraph on the first page of the guarantee.

2 "This guarantee will remain in full force and effect until"

3 - "until" means something -- "the first to occur of...the

4 obligations are no longer in existence."

5 As you pointed out, the obligations refer back to

6 LBIE's obligations as a guarantee. It's not direct

7 obligations, it's guaranteed obligations. LBIE's

8 obligations were completely released and extinguished by the

9 settlement.

10 And in terms of the distinction, which I don't

11 really understand, between termination and ending of the

12 guarantee, the next sentence says, "termination of this

13 guarantee shall not affect." Clearly, it understood the

14 prior sentence to relate to a termination of the guarantee.

15 As you know that, you know, we don't think it

16 matters for 562 whether the guarantee was terminated, even

17 though we think it was, because it governs damages in

18 relation to securities contracts, master nettings

19 agreements, the damages at issue are those that are running

20 under the prime brokerage documents which I think is clearly

21 under the very, very broad language of the second circuit

22 in --

23 THE COURT: Madoff.

24 MR. LEVINE: -- Picard versus Ida Fishman,

25

1 including they specifically call out were it not for the
2 account documents -- I'm reading from the second circuit
3 decision - "were it not for the account documents there
4 would be no basis for a customer to make deposits or request
5 withdrawals."

6 Their attempt to distinguish the PB agreement from
7 the definition of a securities contract, because what they
8 want was to get their securities back and not buy or sell
9 trades, is specifically described by the second circuit as
10 something that made the account agreements there a
11 securities contract.

12 And finally, Your Honor, I mean just to be kind of
13 crude, they paid \$30 million. They paid \$30 million to
14 LBIE.

15 You know, you can't have an obligation to the
16 primary obligor and think you have a guarantee claim, it
17 just doesn't make sense.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. LEVINE: Thank you.

20 THE COURT: All right. So, last licks, Mr. Roll?

21 MR. ROLL: Please, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Sure.

23 MR. ROLL: And I promise, I will be quicker than
24 Mr. Levine. And I appreciate the time --
25

1 THE COURT: Sure.

2 MR. ROLL: -- I appreciate everyone's patience.

3 The last point we paid \$30 million, we would have
4 paid a lot less if we had gotten full credit for the
5 securities cash that they had that they owed us, so that's a
6 red herring.

7 THE COURT: What do you mean if you had gotten
8 full credit --

9 MR. ROLL: If we had gotten everything that we
10 thought we were entitled to under -- from LBIE, if all the
11 positions had been closed out as we requested and the
12 resisted in the course of the negotiations we would have
13 ended up paying less.

14 So it's -- it doesn't mean that we weren't
15 entitled to --

16 THE COURT: Okay. Well I don't want -- I'm not --

17 MR. ROLL: But --

18 THE COURT: I really am trying to resist anyone
19 luring me away -- into contested facts, so.

20 MR. ROLL: Well I was going to stop at that point.
21 The bottom line on that is, it is part of a set of contested
22 facts which is inappropriate at a sufficiency hearing, and
23 they know full well that there was a lot more to the story
24 of what went on in those negotiations and what's in the
25

1 papers, because --

2 THE COURT: Okay. But you're doing precisely what
3 I said I'm not -- I don't believe that there's a need to go
4 beyond the undisputed facts --

5 MR. ROLL: Okay.

6 THE COURT: -- of using the 101- and comparing it
7 to the 118- that is now being sought.

8 MR. ROLL: Then I'll close on this point, Your
9 Honor.

10 With respect to the termination of the guarantee
11 as a matter of fact it was not terminated, absolutely --

12 THE COURT: What about the plan provision? What
13 about the argument that once you get to the plan it's over,
14 it's rejected?

15 MR. ROLL: As an executory contract?

16 THE COURT: Yeah.

17 MR. ROLL: I think it fails the Countryman test,
18 there were no remaining obligations on the part of Maverick,
19 so I don't think it actually qualifies as an executory
20 contract. And we saw that for the first time in their reply
21 brief, you know, that's my kneejerk reaction to that. It's
22 the first we had heard of that. But again, I don't think it
23 meets the test for an executory contract.

24 This is the point I wanted to close on. The
25

1 guarantee was not terminated as a matter of fact or law or
2 else there would have been no reason for the existence in
3 the settlement agreement of the provision that preserved for
4 us the right to proceed against other Lehman entities. That
5 can only mean that we had the right to proceed on other
6 obligations held by other Lehman entities, including LBHI,
7 including the guarantee.

8 And on the proof of claim issue, you know, LBHI is
9 really claiming they're surprised by the consequential
10 damages point which we have been discussing with them for
11 quite some time and they know it --

12 THE COURT: It's not a question of what you've
13 been discussing with them, it's a question of what the proof
14 of claim says, so.

15 MR. ROLL: And if they believe it's not fairly set
16 forth, if the Court believes it's not fairly set forth we
17 would respectfully ask for the opportunity to move to amend
18 to make that claim, because we believe sincerely it's been
19 clear to them all along, and if need be to make it clearer
20 we'll do it in writing.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. ROLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 So you can probably tell that I spent a lot of
25

1 time on this before today, but I very much wanted to hear
2 from you, because the issues are many, and here's the thing,
3 you're entitled to, and I think this probably merits a full-
4 blown written opinion. When that would occur, just look
5 around. Starting trial in another Lehman matter on
6 April 3rd, 150 million at issue. As soon as that's over,
7 starting trial on another Lehman matter in which the amount
8 at issue is \$2 billion. That promises to take me into 2018,
9 and that's just Lehman. I have a full docket otherwise. So
10 I don't want to deprive you of what you're entitled to, but
11 I'd also like to help you progress beyond today.

12 So I'm going to give you my bullet points, what my
13 ruling -- what the decision will look like. Beyond that, I
14 can't give you a date when I would issue a formal memorandum
15 opinion, but perhaps this could provide the bases for you to
16 have further discussions and figure out whether you want to
17 wait, you know, exercise appeal rights, etcetera, et cetera.

18 If there were 48 hours in the day or if there were
19 two of me I could move more quickly, but it just -- it is
20 what it is. So it was a good happenstance that I was able
21 to even fit you in today for this hearing.

22 So the bottom line is that the Maverick claims
23 should be disallowed and expunged. The claims have been
24 satisfied in full by LBIE. Although claims are typically
25

1 valued under the Code as of the petition date, here Section
2 562(a) is applicable and provides an alternative date for
3 valuing claims that arise from the rejection, liquidation,
4 termination, or acceleration of certain specified contracts,
5 including "master netting agreements," in quotes, and
6 "securities contracts," in quotes.

7 So the prime brokerage agreements are securities
8 contracts and master netting agreements within the meaning
9 of Section 562(a).

10 Maverick's arguments that its claims are not based
11 on the securities contract aspects of the prime brokerage
12 documents and thus are not subject to 562 fails because the
13 argument is contrary not only to the courts' expansive
14 reading of the term "securities contracts," and more
15 specifically the second circuit's holding in the Madoff case
16 finding that brokerage account agreements similar to those
17 here are in fact securities contracts, and that's explored
18 in some detail at pages 5 to 7 of Lehman's reply;Maverick's
19 severability argument, as I'll call it, ignores the fact
20 that the prime brokerage guarantee is a separate securities
21 agreement under the Code, and regardless of whether certain
22 obligations arising under the prime brokerage agreements are
23 severable, which I don't believe that they are, Section
24 562(a) applies here because the claims are based upon a
25

1 guarantee which qualifies as "a security agreement or other
2 arrangement or other credit enhancement related to a
3 securities contract or a master netting agreement." And
4 once again, the definitions of these important terms are
5 spelled out in Lehman's reply on page 7.

6 So the operative date for valuing the claims under
7 562(a) is the settlement date, which is March 30, 2012, the
8 date of the Maveric-LBIE settlement.

9 Each of the prime brokerage agreements, including
10 the guarantee, terminated on the settlement date. Pursuant
11 to the terms of the settlement agreement all quote/unquote
12 obligations under the prime brokerage documents were -- to
13 use the words of that agreement -- no longer in existence
14 from and after the settlement date. Therefore, Maverick's
15 reliance on the petition date for the valuation of its
16 claims is simply not correct. The prime brokerage
17 agreements were terminated in all respects, functionally,
18 not only as to LBIE.

19 Moreover, 562(a) would apply even if the guarantee
20 had not been terminated, because nothing in 562(a) requires
21 that a guarantee be terminated for such section to apply.
22 562 governs the measurement of "damages," quote/unquote, in
23 connection with, among other things, the termination of
24 securities contracts and master netting agreements.
25

1 As Lehman correctly points out, Maverick's reading
2 of Section 562(a) would limit its applicability and in
3 effect create a two-tier system of measuring damages.

4 First, primary claims in accordance with Section
5 562(a) as of the date of contract rejection, termination,
6 acceleration, or liquidation; and two, related guarantee
7 claims measured as of a different date.

8 I believe that this is incompatible with the
9 policy behind such guarantees, which are given in essence to
10 assure that the liability of the principal obligator, the
11 primary obligor, is satisfied, which is what occurred here.

12 Value of the Maverick guarantee claims are covered
13 by Section 562(a), they were valued at one hundred and one
14 point nine million dollars as of the settlement date, which
15 was the date that Maverick was made whole by LBIE.

16 Maverick cites Ivanhoe, but Ivanhoe and its
17 progeny merely stand for the proposition that a creditor
18 cannot recover more than the value of its claims or damages,
19 and Ivanhoe does not enable Maverick to assert additional
20 guarantee claim rights against LBHI.

21 Accordingly, I believe that Maverick cannot
22 establish a guarantee claim against LBHI because it cannot
23 establish any unsatisfied obligations of its primary
24 obligor, LBIE.

25

1 Maverick recovered the full value of its long
2 positions as of the settlement date. It would be contrary
3 to commercial practice and commercial reality for a
4 brokerage customer to be able to recover more from its
5 primary obligor and guarantor in bankruptcy than it would
6 outside of bankruptcy where a customer's economic exposure
7 is measured on a net basis with the value of its long
8 positions offset against its short positions.

9 In addition, for reasons that I will elaborate
10 further in a more complete written opinion, I do believe
11 that Judge Glenn was correct in MF Global, I do believe that
12 under the principles that he articulated and the terms of
13 the documents here that the exculpation provisions would
14 themselves preclude the allowance of, in essence, what is
15 the diminution claim being asserted by the Maverick
16 entities.

17 Finally, I do not believe that Maverick should be
18 allowed to amend its claims in any respects. Maverick filed
19 guarantee claims, they are clear on its face and I don't
20 believe that they have -- that it would be appropriate to
21 allow them the opportunity to expand upon the theories of
22 liability or the amount or nature of damages which had been
23 asserted in the guarantee claims.

24 So that's kind of the short form of what I would
25

1 write. I suppose I could give you the option of entering an
2 order and attaching the transcript, or just ask you to wait,
3 or a third option behind door number 3 would be to continue
4 to talk to one another and see if you can come to a
5 consensual resolution.

6 So it's not that I don't believe that this --
7 every claimant's claim is very important, but I just have a
8 reality of what I'm dealing with in terms of when I'd be
9 able to turn something out that I would be willing to sign
10 as a memorandum opinion.

11 MR. ROLL: We understand that, Your Honor. I --
12 respectfully I think we would choose a combination of one
13 and three, three being we'll continue to talk, and one being
14 not waiting. I mean we understand Your Honor is busy. If
15 Your Honor is inclined or is willing to enter an order with
16 the transcript attached that allows the right to -- affords
17 us the right and the opportunity to take this to the next
18 level we would appreciate that.

19 THE COURT: Well I think fairness you'd have -- I'd
20 want you to discuss that.

21 MR. ROLL: Okay.

22 THE COURT: Because I really don't want to be in
23 the position of depriving anyone of due process in any
24 respect and what they're entitled to, and I don't like -- I
25

1 don't want anyone to feel that I'm giving them short shrift.

2 MR. ROLL: I understand that, Your Honor. We're
3 sort of -- I'm trying to balance --

4 THE COURT: Yeah, me too.

5 MR. ROLL: -- the need to get moving on it --

6 THE COURT: Me too.

7 MR. ROLL: -- and again, I don't want to burden
8 the Court. So we will continue to talk and if we may, would
9 the Court permit us to get back to Your Honor --

10 THE COURT: Sure.

11 MR. ROLL: -- with how to proceed?

12 THE COURT: Absolutely.

13 MR. ROLL: I will say this though, I've seen in
14 other instances how capable the Court is in terms of turning
15 things out quickly and fully under tough circumstances, so.

16 THE COURT: Well but just to be clear, because you
17 know, among us who, you know, practice in this building a
18 lot people who know what 502(b)(6) is --

19 MR. ROLL: You will never let me forget that.

20 THE COURT: -- do not -- you're not -- no, you're
21 not allowed to give him a hard time when you go back to the
22 office.

23 MR. ROLL: I'll give him a very good time, because
24 he did well.
25

1 THE COURT: Good. I do turn out things very
2 quickly, very voluminous things, but often those are
3 instances in which I have a real live living, breathing,
4 struggling to breath debtor --

5 MR. ROLL: Understood.

6 THE COURT: -- and that makes for greater urgency.
7 So I'm trying to not dig myself deeper here, but --

8 MR. ROLL: That's fine, Your Honor. We're happy
9 to accommodate that, we'll talk to our friends on the other
10 side and we'll see what we can do.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. ROLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

14 (A chorus of thank you)

15 THE COURT: Just together just email chambers and
16 just let us know which path you have elected to take, and
17 you need not call out the party who's dissenting.

18 (Laughter)

19 THE COURT: Okay? If there's a dissent. If
20 there's agreement, great. If there's a dissent just say,
21 you know, we're going to wait or whatever it is we're going
22 to do.

23 MR. ROLL: Very well, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right.

25

1 (A chorus of thank you)

2 THE COURT: Thanks a lot. Have a great weekend.

3 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:36

4 AM)

5 * * * * *

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

RULINGS

PAGE

Doc #53107 Plan Administrators Five Hundred	69
Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims	
(No Liability Claims)	

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

We, Sheila Orms and Dawn South, certify that the foregoing
transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Sheila Orms

Certified Electronic Transcriber

Dawn South

AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-408

Date: March 27, 2017

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

[& - administrators]

Page 1

&	2002 23:2,3	45:11,12,20,22	65:14 71:20 75:4
& 3:4,13 35:14	2012 15:19,22	48:13,14 49:15	76:9
0	41:7 73:7	77:18	absence 39:10
08-13555 1:3	2017 1:15 81:14	506 48:10,11	absolute 61:18
1	2018 71:8	53107 2:2 80:4	absolutely 43:8
1 20:8 23:18,19	22 8:15,23 64:24	562 4:21 8:2,7	69:11 77:12
1.286 24:24	23 9:1	11:17 13:18,21	acceleration 72:4
1.3 25:5	24 1:15	14:7,22,23,24	74:6
100 44:24	27 20:9,11 81:14	15:13 16:7,13,23	accept 23:8,9
10022 3:16	28 65:8	17:23 26:10 28:1	accepting 15:15
101 43:20 45:3,4	29 65:17	28:2,12 29:2,14	15:17 16:5
62:7 69:6	3	30:22 33:2,3,4,6	accommodate
101.9 9:10 30:20	3 20:6,11 25:4,12	33:11,11,14,15	78:9
44:1 47:15	25:13 27:5 31:17	42:22,24 43:7	account 12:6,7
101.9. 42:2	76:3	44:5,6 46:15	14:4 17:3 23:22
10153 3:7	30 67:13,13 68:3	48:20 54:1 62:1,4	25:15 41:14 67:2
10:03 1:16	73:7	66:16 72:2,9,12	67:3,10 72:16
11 8:15,21,22	300 81:21	72:24 73:7,19,20	accurate 81:4
40:19	30th 15:22	73:22 74:2,5,13	accusation 25:19
11501 81:22	32 18:12 19:10,12	599 3:15	acknowledge
118 41:5,5 43:18	330 81:20	6	23:12
43:20 62:7 69:7	3rd 71:6	6 45:11,12,20,22	acquired 7:13
118.1 30:19	4	48:13,14 49:15	action 44:8
12 20:15	4 8:12,13,14,20,21	77:18	active 20:24 21:1
12.1d 20:23	10:9,10,14 31:19	69 80:4	actual 15:22 54:3
13 21:12 27:4	31:19,19 50:7	7	adam 3:9
31:21	51:3,4,5 59:14	7 72:18 73:5	add 7:3,4
13th 15:19	4.3 16:22,22,24	741 15:5	addition 31:16
14 47:5	17:4,9,15 29:17	767 3:6	32:4 75:9
150 71:6	408 81:12	8	additional 26:12
16.2 14:8 16:6	42 20:14	8 64:12,23	34:13 35:2 74:19
17:22 30:21 31:5	44 21:16	80 20:9,11,14	address 27:21
32:4,13	48 71:18	21:16	47:13 62:21
187 25:1	4b 18:15,19,22	9	addressed 63:24
187.3 30:7,17 31:6	19:8	9 19:13	adequately 62:21
2	5	99 35:23	63:3
2 10:19,23 24:2,21	5 22:18,19 23:14	a	administration
63:6,8 64:23 71:8	35:3 62:13 63:6	aaert 81:12	21:10 40:18 64:12
2.1 51:7	72:18	ability 46:11	65:5,14
2.1.a 10:17	50 63:18	able 14:10 40:3,10	administrator
2.3 52:7	502 26:9 38:12	43:17 45:1 65:14	4:10 21:8
	40:11 42:20 43:9		administrators
			2:2 80:4

[admit - attaching]

Page 2

admit 26:23 28:7 65:12	72:5,7,8,16,22 73:9,17,24	applicable 46:12 46:13 72:2	64:16 69:13 72:13 72:19
admitting 24:20	agrees 17:7	application 4:21	arguments 4:16
advanced 5:10	ahead 53:23 56:19	23:7 38:12 39:9	12:2 17:23 28:4
advantage 22:7	air 46:12,13	41:22	28:12 35:8 72:10
affect 11:15 54:10	allow 8:6 75:21	applied 18:10	arising 54:11
66:13	allowance 46:4	applies 8:7 15:13	72:22
affidavit 15:19	75:14	28:1 33:2,11,15	arrangement 73:2
affiliates 24:17	allowed 5:13 7:23	46:10 72:24	art 58:21
afford 35:20	34:3,5,6,9 61:5	apply 13:18 14:7	articulated 37:4
affords 19:23	75:18 77:21	16:7,10,13,24	75:12
76:16	allows 54:20	17:23 28:13 31:10	ascertainable
agent 24:16	76:16	31:10 33:16 38:10	55:1
aggregate 30:8	alternative 72:2	42:23,24 43:8	asked 33:1 34:1
aggregates 24:24	amend 26:15,22	62:1 65:11 73:19	asking 7:17 30:21
agree 6:22 19:6	26:23 27:19 31:3	73:21	38:5
24:7 26:8 27:10	61:5,23 70:17	appointment 21:3	aspects 72:11
33:8 35:9 37:19	75:18	21:8	assert 9:19 26:15
65:8	amendments	appreciate 56:6	27:18 30:17 31:3
agreeing 15:16	55:13	64:4 67:24 68:2	40:10 42:17 43:9
agreement 9:12	american 6:24	76:18	43:17 45:1 46:5
10:9 12:5,7 15:1,2	amount 16:21	apprehends 48:4	47:23 61:5 62:17
15:4,8,9,10 18:8	17:5,19 22:21	approach 29:22	74:19
18:13,20,23 19:1	24:24 25:5,14	33:14	asserted 27:6,12
19:15 20:8,10	26:4 29:17,18	appropriate	27:17 30:13 31:2
21:20,22 22:4,5,6	34:5 40:21 41:1	75:20	31:8 32:7 34:7
22:12 24:3,4,5	42:4,17,20 45:2	appropriately	61:22 75:15,23
28:4,21 34:8,18	46:4,6 47:17,23	34:10	asserting 10:5
36:16 41:9,19	63:13 71:7 75:22	approximate 9:12	26:13 56:13
43:2 44:8,9,15	amounts 21:15	approximately	assertion 16:18
49:2 50:16,22	25:5,15 30:9 32:8	9:10	asserts 25:4
51:4 52:10 53:1	ample 32:13	april 71:6	assets 24:12,15,16
54:6 65:8,17 67:6	analogous 21:2,3	argue 13:22 26:18	24:23 26:5 28:8
70:3 72:21 73:1,3	analogy 46:15	27:19 28:18 35:20	assume 31:8 43:5
73:11,13 78:20	answer 5:16	argued 5:20	49:4 62:12
agreements 10:18	anybody 44:17	argues 25:8	assumes 42:22
10:20,21,21,22,23	anyway 23:13	arguing 11:10	assuming 42:9,10
10:24 12:18 13:24	apart 54:14	argument 9:22	57:2
18:5 21:21 24:3,8	appeal 71:17	11:13 13:12,19,22	assure 74:10
26:14 28:14,16	appearing 35:15	14:14,16 27:22	attached 20:7
32:9,11,12 41:11	apple 57:1,2,16,18	28:2 29:2,14 42:8	76:16
51:10 52:22,23,24	applicability 74:2	42:18 43:11 44:4	attaching 76:2
65:1 66:19 67:10		44:21 48:5,8 49:5	

[attempt - circuit]

Page 3

attempt 67:6 attorneys 3:5,14 automatic 21:17 21:22 22:13 avenue 3:6,15 avoidance 25:13 avoided 54:20,21 aware 7:21 34:2 34:24 35:3	47:8 basis 16:22 17:9 27:23 42:5 67:4 75:7 bat 36:10 beginning 42:7 begins 9:4 behalf 24:17 35:15 44:8 believe 4:20 69:3 70:15,18 72:23 74:8,21 75:10,11 75:17,20 76:6 believes 32:13 70:16 bell 19:7 bench 29:22 benefits 19:24 benefitted 12:20 best 20:3 better 21:21 betting 57:1 beyond 69:4 71:11,13 big 38:3 billion 37:13 71:8 bit 6:15 blown 71:4 book 50:4 books 33:18 bottom 8:17 24:5 68:21 71:22 bowling 1:12 box 23:16 breach 33:7 40:17 40:18 48:3 55:9 63:14 breached 40:23 breath 78:4 breathing 78:3 brief 18:6 20:7,7 64:21 69:21	briefly 56:8 bring 10:8 45:18 broad 63:10 66:21 broadly 36:11 broker 12:3,10,13 31:9 36:19 37:8 37:16 brokerage 10:20 12:4,5,6,6,18 15:1 15:8 18:8,13,20 18:23,24 20:8,10 21:19 22:12 24:3 24:7,9,10,10,19 24:23 25:6,17 28:5,13,18 29:9 31:3 32:8,10 36:16 49:1 52:21 52:24 65:1 66:20 72:7,11,16,20,22 73:9,12,16 75:4 brothers 1:7 12:8 12:9 19:19,23 20:9 65:9,18 building 77:17 bullet 71:12 burden 77:7 business 15:20 busy 76:14 buy 67:8	capture 58:10 carefully 22:23 54:24 case 1:3 5:10 16:24 18:1 21:1,4 23:20 47:5 56:13 57:21 59:6 64:15 72:15 cases 29:11 cash 9:13,19 12:16 28:8 41:6 42:1 65:3 68:5 catch 43:19 caused 58:3,5 65:9 certain 24:7,12 34:7,19 54:7 72:4 72:21 certainly 24:13 25:21 29:14 49:2 49:2 53:20 58:17 certified 81:8,12 certify 81:3 cet 81:12 cetera 51:24 52:1 71:17 challenging 15:24 chambers 78:15 chance 34:4 change 26:4 42:3 changes 41:8 chapman 1:19 chapter 40:19 characterization 6:23 check 23:16 34:4 checked 22:23 choice 39:1,5,8,10 choose 76:12 chorus 78:14 79:1 chose 16:20 circuit 66:21 67:2 67:9
b		c	
b 1:18 45:11,12,20 45:22 48:10,11,13 48:14 49:15 51:22 77:18 back 30:10 31:23 36:18 37:17,19 38:6 39:2,15 43:22 49:14 50:4 51:1 54:18 55:18 57:10,12,18 58:7 58:7 59:18 60:3 66:5 67:8 77:9,21 background 5:4 35:7 bailee 24:16 balance 77:3 bankrupt 38:18 bankruptcy 1:1 1:11,20 23:20 33:9 38:3,13,14 38:15 39:4,8,13 40:3,11 45:8 46:5 46:8,14 47:11,19 48:19,22 54:19 56:15,22,24 58:5 58:8,15 60:10,17 75:5,6 based 23:17 53:6 58:5 60:7,8 72:10 72:24 bases 71:15 basically 15:20 31:21,23 32:19		c 1:19 3:3 4:4 21:14 50:7 59:12 81:1,1 calculate 21:15 33:7 call 22:4 32:3 37:1 67:1 72:19 78:17 cancellation 9:5,8 cap 45:13 46:10 capable 27:22 77:14 capped 45:21	

<p>circuit's 72:15</p> <p>circumstance 38:5 39:1 48:2,17</p> <p>circumstances 34:8 35:6 38:19 54:8 77:15</p> <p>cited 18:7 47:3 64:14</p> <p>cites 74:16</p> <p>citing 58:24</p> <p>claim 10:4,5 12:24 13:11 14:17 17:20 22:17,24 23:6,17 23:19,21,21 25:2 25:4,4,14,14,20 26:1,3,6,7,10 27:19 30:4,7,9,16 30:16 31:6,8 32:5 33:5,5 34:5,6,7,9 35:2,4 36:5 38:17 40:10,13,21,24 41:5 42:4,20 43:17 45:2 47:18 47:23 56:13 58:4 58:5 60:7,8 61:4,6 61:12,12,21,22,23 62:2,6,11,13,16 62:19,19,21,23,24 63:1,11,17 67:16 70:8,14,18 74:20 74:22 75:15 76:7</p> <p>claimant 17:14</p> <p>claimant's 76:7</p> <p>claimants 11:7</p> <p>claimed 22:21</p> <p>claiming 70:9</p> <p>claims 2:3,3 5:12 5:12,20,22,24 6:6 6:6,11 7:9,11,13 7:16,22 12:23,24 13:2,2,3,4,6,11 25:1 26:12,13,16 26:19 27:5,12</p>	<p>30:13 31:2,3,10 33:3 34:2,13,17 34:19,22 44:18,23 44:24 51:24 52:13 55:12 61:4 64:24 71:22,23,24 72:3 72:10,24 73:6,16 74:4,7,12,18 75:18,19,23 80:5 80:6</p> <p>clarification 57:23</p> <p>clause 33:10 58:10 59:3,9 65:8</p> <p>claw 59:18 60:3</p> <p>clean 64:3</p> <p>clear 21:7,16,22 21:24 52:11 59:8 70:19 75:19 77:16</p> <p>clearer 70:19</p> <p>clearly 12:9 23:8 24:1 29:2,3,8 44:14,16 45:5 65:15 66:13,20</p> <p>client 34:4</p> <p>close 21:12 69:8 69:24</p> <p>closed 68:11</p> <p>code 15:5 33:9 38:13 40:11 45:9 46:5,9 48:20,22 65:2 72:1,21</p> <p>collateral 24:16</p> <p>colleague 35:16</p> <p>collect 32:16</p> <p>column 9:4</p> <p>combination 76:12</p> <p>combine 47:21</p> <p>come 42:13 55:18 76:4</p> <p>comes 30:14 61:24</p>	<p>coming 41:19</p> <p>commenced 65:5</p> <p>commencement 37:7</p> <p>comment 7:2</p> <p>commercial 65:2 75:3,3</p> <p>commitments 23:24</p> <p>comparing 69:6</p> <p>complete 35:4 75:10</p> <p>completely 27:11 65:24 66:8</p> <p>complex 4:15</p> <p>complexity 4:14</p> <p>complicated 6:16</p> <p>components 30:17</p> <p>compromised 41:17</p> <p>concede 49:6</p> <p>concedes 9:9</p> <p>concept 46:18 54:15 61:3</p> <p>concepts 54:23</p> <p>concluded 79:3</p> <p>conclusion 42:9</p> <p>conflict 19:22</p> <p>connection 9:11 24:18 25:6 32:8 44:13 47:19 49:9 73:23</p> <p>consensual 76:5</p> <p>consequence 60:10,16</p> <p>consequential 31:11,12,14 62:19 62:22 65:19,20,21 70:9</p> <p>consistent 25:22 29:11 38:22 39:9 54:6</p>	<p>constellation 22:5</p> <p>constitutes 20:20 25:2 63:12</p> <p>contemplates 60:2</p> <p>contend 53:24</p> <p>contested 68:19 68:21</p> <p>contesting 23:7</p> <p>continue 32:16 76:3,13 77:8</p> <p>continues 24:6,15</p> <p>contract 13:15 15:7,10 18:12 19:21 20:2,2 28:15,24 40:16 44:12 67:7,11 69:15,20,23 72:11 73:3 74:5</p> <p>contracts 13:23 13:23 14:22 19:18 19:21 28:14 48:23 66:18 72:4,6,8,14 72:17 73:24</p> <p>contrary 18:3,4 72:13 75:2</p> <p>control 21:21</p> <p>controlled 24:12</p> <p>controlling 11:17</p> <p>controls 13:21 33:10</p> <p>conveyance 60:4 60:9</p> <p>convince 16:7</p> <p>corporate 23:1,23</p> <p>corporation 23:9</p> <p>correct 7:8 16:15 19:7 22:10 33:23 33:24 37:14 39:17 46:7 59:24 62:10 73:16 75:11</p> <p>correctly 18:9 74:1</p>
--	--	--	---

[counsel - delighted]

Page 5

counsel 17:6 29:22 61:9 64:10 64:14 65:24 counted 47:16 counterparty 34:6 country 81:20 countryman 69:17 couple 32:24 36:7 course 14:13 20:17 23:15 27:19 35:22 36:9 68:12 court 1:1,11 4:5,8 4:11,13 5:2,4,6,9 6:4,18,22 7:2,7,19 7:24 8:3,12,14,20 8:22,24 9:6,24 10:2,5,12,15 11:12,19,22 12:12 12:15 13:3,5 14:16,24 15:6,12 16:1,4,12,17 17:1 18:14,17,21,23 19:2,6,8,12,14 20:4,12,16 21:18 22:2,18 25:12,18 25:23 26:2,15,20 27:1,21 29:23 30:1,5 31:18,20 32:1,22 33:17,22 34:15,21 35:1,5,7 35:11,13,18,19,22 36:1,4,7,10,14,18 36:21 37:1,6,10 37:12,15 38:1,7 38:10,14,17,21 39:3,7,15,18,20 39:23 40:1,7,13 42:9,13,16,22 43:4,15,24 44:20 45:7,11,13,16,20 45:24 46:8,18,23	47:7,12 48:5,13 48:18,22 49:1,7 49:12,17 50:6,8 50:10,14,17,19,23 51:2,5,9,12,16,18 52:4,16,20 53:9 53:11,14,19,21,23 54:16 55:10,16,19 55:22,24 56:2,10 56:17,19,23 57:4 57:7,11,13,17,20 57:23 58:3,6,11 58:14,16,23 59:13 59:15,20,23 60:5 60:14,18,24 61:13 61:15 62:5,9,11 62:23 63:2,5,9,16 63:21 64:1,3,6,14 64:14,19 66:23 67:18,20,22 68:1 68:7,16,18 69:2,6 69:12,16 70:12,16 70:21,23 76:19,22 77:4,6,8,9,10,12 77:14,16,20 78:1 78:6,11,13,15,19 78:24 79:2 courts' 72:13 cover 55:15 covered 21:8 65:15 74:12 crazy 17:17 create 74:3 creating 56:11 credit 68:4,8 73:2 creditor 74:17 critical 61:16 critically 59:2 crude 67:13 crystal 59:8 cumulative 19:14 current 26:4 30:14 64:22	custodied 65:3 custodies 37:12 custody 12:14,15 24:23 26:5 36:22 57:5 customer 67:4 75:4 customer's 75:6 customers 12:14 12:22 d d 4:4 21:8,16 80:1 81:12 damages 15:14 16:6,10,16,17 17:22 18:1 27:8,8 27:13,14 29:7,12 29:15 31:4,11,12 31:14 32:1,2,17 32:17 33:3,6,7,11 40:17 41:17 44:6 45:14,21 46:6 48:2 55:8 62:19 62:22 65:19,21,21 66:17,19 70:10 73:22 74:3,18 75:22 date 9:16,17,18,20 9:21,23,24 13:17 13:17,22 14:7,8,9 14:10,11,12,14,19 14:20 15:22 16:19 17:9 24:22 26:9 26:10 28:8 29:1 29:18 30:19,20,23 33:7,12,12,12 34:19 37:5,21 40:12,14,17,18,19 40:20 41:1 42:5 42:11,17 43:11 47:3 48:2 55:9,9 63:15 71:14 72:1 72:2 73:6,7,8,10	73:14,15 74:5,7 74:14,15 75:2 81:14 dawn 3:2 81:3,11 day 15:22 41:6 56:24 57:6 71:18 deal 15:20 47:4 50:10 dealer 12:3 31:9 36:19 37:16 dealing 48:16,19 48:19,20 76:8 debtor 1:9 23:21 46:1,9 78:4 debtor's 23:20,22 decide 37:15 39:23 decided 36:15 decision 16:9,12 67:3 71:13 declarations 6:21 decline 60:18 declined 57:19 decrease 14:6 deed 51:23 deeper 78:7 default 18:10 20:17,18,20 21:6 21:9,11,13,23 defaulting 20:21 20:22 21:4,5,15 22:9 defenses 31:9 defer 5:18 defined 10:23 48:1 52:20,23,23 defines 24:8 52:22 definition 15:4 67:7 degree 4:14 delay 58:3 delighted 36:1
--	---	---	--

[delta - everybody]

Page 6

delta 62:6 demand 25:2 63:12 demonstrative 30:1,2 deny 32:14 depending 26:4 deposits 67:4 deprive 71:10 depriving 76:23 derives 40:10 described 67:9 describing 25:3 detail 72:18 difference 6:17 22:24 30:21 different 4:16 14:3 24:8 29:11 37:16 45:7 51:18 54:23 62:20 74:7 dig 78:7 diminished 38:9 diminution 14:9 15:14 16:6,10,16 16:17 17:22,24 29:7,12,15 37:2,3 75:15 direct 23:2,3,4,6,7 23:11 26:13,16,19 31:3 55:12 61:4,6 61:12,21 62:2,19 66:6 directing 65:24 directly 65:9 disagree 62:1 disallowed 5:13 71:23 discharge 47:9,10 47:14 discharged 47:10 discovery 11:5 discuss 76:20	discussing 61:17 70:10,13 discussions 71:16 dismiss 15:16 dispositive 5:11 64:15 dispute 28:4,20 29:4 41:6 43:8 disputes 28:1,3 disputing 28:9,10 29:4 34:12,16 dissent 78:19,20 dissenting 78:17 distinction 59:5 66:10 distinguish 67:6 distributions 43:19 district 1:2 47:3 47:12 64:14 dixit 42:19 doc 2:2 80:4 docket 71:9 document 53:7 55:2 61:19 documents 11:5 24:9,10,11,19,24 25:7,17 26:17 28:6,13,18 29:9 31:4 32:11 49:11 66:20 67:2,3 72:12 73:12 75:13 doesn't 16:10 doing 69:2 dollars 34:13 37:13,21,22 74:14 door 76:3 doubt 25:14 drafted 54:24 draw 46:15 55:2 drop 25:10 due 34:7 76:23	e e 1:18,18 3:3,3 4:4 4:4 10:10 51:3,4,5 80:1 81:1 earlier 11:5 easier 18:17 ecf 20:9 economic 75:6 ecro 2:1 effect 21:20 52:17 53:12 66:2 74:3 effective 13:17 effectively 9:10 effectiveness 29:1 effectuated 53:5 effectuates 41:10 either 5:13 12:10 26:9 30:12 61:3 elaborate 75:9 elected 78:16 electronic 81:8,12 elements 8:6 email 78:15 embedded 26:19 61:4,12 enable 74:19 encapsulate 22:2 ended 68:13 enforce 64:10 enforceable 23:9 23:10,12 engaged 41:18 english 6:20 7:1 9:14 enhanced 23:15 23:20 38:17 enhancement 73:2 enter 76:15 entered 19:20 20:2 41:10 54:12 entering 76:1	entire 19:14 43:11 entirely 28:3 entirety 4:22 entities 3:14 24:6 24:22 25:16 32:5 35:15 36:14 52:12 56:22 61:5 65:4 70:4,6 75:16 entitiesmaverick 32:15 entitled 9:22 14:9 29:16 30:12 43:9 56:14 68:10,15 71:3,10 76:24 entitlements 27:7 27:13 entity 11:3,15 19:19 44:19 51:14 52:1 equivalent 57:10 esq 3:9,10,11,18 3:19 essence 74:9 75:14 essentially 7:12 11:13 43:18 establish 16:7 55:1 74:22,23 estimate 7:11 estimated 6:1 estimation 5:7,23 6:1,9,10 8:9 9:18 27:5 30:15 31:16 32:14 34:11,17 et 51:24,24 71:17 etcetera 71:17 europe 20:10 event 20:18,20 21:9,10,13,23,23 65:18 events 20:17,19 everybody 41:12
--	--	--	--

[everyone's - generous]

Page 7

<p>everyone's 68:2 evidence 43:23 eviscerated 59:9 exactly 7:21 9:17 12:1 45:17 49:18 50:19 example 14:17 15:17 45:19 49:15 56:8 excerpts 18:16 exchange 65:10 exculpation 12:21 16:9,13 17:24 39:9 55:11,15 58:1 59:3 64:11 75:13 exculpatory 29:9 36:3 execute 57:14 executory 13:15 28:24 69:15,19,23 exercise 41:1,2 71:17 exercises 26:5 exhibit 20:8 exist 49:24 50:1 existence 52:21 53:3,6,15,17,17 54:2,13 66:4 70:2 73:13 expand 75:21 expansive 72:13 expects 32:15 expenses 30:10,24 expert 6:20 explored 72:17 exposure 75:6 expressly 12:5 30:8,9 59:17 60:1 expunged 71:23 extent 11:1 12:23 13:10 15:8 19:18 20:1 27:16,18</p>	<p>49:13,17 extinguished 41:12 66:8 eyes 45:5</p> <hr/> <p>f</p> <hr/> <p>f 1:18 3:18 81:1 face 75:19 fact 11:23 12:4,10 13:13 22:7,8 23:3 23:8,10 28:2 38:13 44:22 46:21 53:16 54:9 58:5 69:11 70:1 72:17 72:19 facts 28:3 29:3 41:15 43:16 68:19 68:22 69:4 factual 28:9 31:14 facty 43:13,15 fail 3:10 5:18 7:5 7:6,7,8,21 32:24 33:23,24 34:16,22 35:2,6,9 fails 69:17 72:12 fair 38:24 57:23 64:7 fairly 70:15,16 fairness 76:19 far 6:14 22:19,22 fault 15:12 favor 19:22 64:15 february 15:18 feel 77:1 fees 27:7,11 30:10 30:24 32:6,17 fifth 3:6 fight 44:10 figure 20:18 22:14 71:16 filed 7:17 21:10 23:19 26:16 40:19 41:3 58:1,2 61:4 64:12,22 65:13</p>	<p>75:18 filing 23:18 36:11 38:3 filings 20:9 final 32:23 47:16 51:23 finally 13:12 33:13 67:12 75:17 financial 58:18,21 find 51:19 finding 72:16 fine 5:16 26:11 35:12 61:9 78:8 first 5:1,6 8:8 9:15 23:13,15 24:11,14 41:15 50:22 52:18 53:13 63:6 64:9 66:1,3 69:20,22 74:4 fishman 66:24 fit 71:21 five 2:2 8:16 16:19,19 17:7 80:4 fix 48:15 fixed 42:4,21 fly 46:14 48:11 focus 4:22 8:2 folks 19:6 following 20:19 21:13 41:18 footnote 25:10,12 25:13 27:4,5 31:13,17,21 32:19 58:22 force 52:17 53:12 58:9 59:9 66:2 foregoing 81:3 foreign 47:11,19 forget 43:4 50:4 77:19 form 23:16 75:24</p>	<p>formal 71:14 forth 43:22 70:16 70:16 four 19:17 frankly 22:11 fraudulent 60:4,9 friends 78:9 full 13:24 23:22 32:11 41:10 43:12 43:20 44:4 45:1,3 45:3,5 46:6 47:17 47:23,24 48:1 49:10 51:23 52:17 53:7,12,18 54:4 54:19,22 55:4 59:21 60:11 66:2 68:4,8,23 71:3,9 71:24 75:1 fully 77:15 fun 35:24 function 17:12 functionally 73:17 functions 12:4 fund 17:3 22:20 23:15,20 fundamental 33:13 funds 16:19,20 17:8 further 71:16 75:10 future 32:16 34:20</p> <hr/> <p>g</p> <hr/> <p>g 4:4 garrett 3:10 7:3,5 33:24 general 63:10 generally 32:7 generous 61:10 64:8</p>
--	---	---	--

[getting - hundred]

Page 8

getting 39:12 give 36:18 37:17 39:2 43:19 51:2 57:9,12 71:12,14 76:1 77:21,23 given 5:9 74:9 giving 77:1 glenn 58:16 75:11 global 10:21 16:9 18:2 24:4 29:11 58:17 59:2 75:11 gmslas 52:24 go 9:2 18:19 19:10 19:16 20:6,13 21:12 24:2 26:12 36:19 37:16,22 39:15 43:1 44:21 49:14 50:21 51:1 51:20 53:23 56:19 60:19 62:4 69:3 77:21 goes 4:17 41:12 52:2 57:2,24 going 4:8 6:8 8:1 10:9 13:1 16:1 17:4,4 22:22 36:5 36:18 37:16 41:20 41:23 43:14 44:10 45:18 48:8 56:4 60:18 64:6,17 68:20 71:12 78:21 78:21 good 4:7 18:12 35:10,13 38:21,22 40:3 47:12 55:21 55:22 71:20 77:23 78:1 gotshal 3:4 4:10 33:24 gotten 29:20 43:21 68:4,7,9 governed 6:16 19:4 40:16	government 65:10 governs 23:5 66:17 73:22 granted 4:22 great 62:15 78:20 79:2 greater 29:18 46:11 78:6 green 1:12 gross 25:14 guarantee 5:14,15 5:20,24 6:6 10:2,6 12:24 13:2,3,4,11 15:2,4,9 16:15 17:11,12 18:4 22:21 23:2,3,4,4,6 23:8,11,17,22 24:2 25:3 28:15 30:7,16 31:10 33:3 34:9,10 37:2 39:16,16,16,20 40:2,4,5,9,24 41:2 41:12 42:4,18 43:3,6,7,18 44:4 44:13 46:2,3,19 46:19,19 47:9 49:2,19,23,24 50:1,3,5 51:1,17 52:6,15,16,17,21 53:11 54:9,23 57:21 59:8,10,17 59:18,23 60:3,11 60:13 61:18,22 62:2,23 63:12,14 65:23 66:1,2,6,12 66:13,14,16 67:16 69:10 70:1,7 72:20 73:1,10,19 73:21 74:6,12,20 74:22 75:19,23 guaranteed 5:22 66:7	guaranteeing 17:19 guarantees 7:10 7:10 22:24 32:12 74:9 guarantor 13:9 33:16 37:10,23 38:18 43:10 46:6 46:9 47:18,24 48:3 49:21 75:5 guarantor's 47:9 54:10 guess 15:21 29:18 <div style="text-align: center;">h</div> half 8:16 37:21,22 58:22 halfway 9:3 63:7 hand 9:4 19:17 29:21 handed 32:24 handful 6:3,12 handle 61:8 handout 29:21 happen 56:4,12 happened 41:16 64:12 happens 47:19 happenstance 71:20 happy 4:16 78:8 hard 77:21 hear 4:8 60:22 63:24 71:1 heard 69:22 hearing 2:2 15:16 16:2,3 27:23 29:5 61:3 68:22 71:21 held 16:13 24:12 24:16 29:10 70:6 help 20:4 71:11 heretofore 19:19 20:2	hereunder 54:10 herring 68:6 highland 35:3 hinting 12:2 hold 8:20 53:19 53:21 56:17 64:6 holding 40:22 72:15 holdings 1:7 home 41:12 hon 1:19 honor 4:7,9,12,19 4:23 5:20 7:5,8 8:10 11:4 16:8 17:10 18:7,13,15 19:7 28:17 29:10 29:13,14 33:19,20 34:1 35:10,12,17 37:4 38:3 39:12 42:7 43:1 45:19 48:4,7 50:9 51:6,8 53:24 55:14,20,21 56:7 59:7,22 60:21 61:8 62:21 63:4,11,24 64:2,4 64:10,18 65:23 67:12,21 69:9 70:22 76:11,14,15 77:2,9 78:8,12,23 honor's 38:24 39:5 hoping 35:20 horrible 6:20,23 hours 71:18 how's 63:18 huh 30:5 36:17,24 39:22 42:12 46:17 47:7 49:16 50:6,8 50:13 51:12 56:23 57:11,13,17 62:8 63:9 hundred 2:2 6:2,5 6:8 74:13 80:4
--	--	--	--

[hypothetical - lbhi]

Page 9

hypothetical 37:4 37:6 38:24 39:5 39:15 48:9,17 56:12,16,20	indicates 54:7 indirect 65:19 indirectly 65:10 indiscernible 27:10 indulging 55:23 inference 55:2 information 5:11 5:17 initial 25:5,14 26:3 insolvency 20:24 21:1 41:22,24 47:2 55:6 instance 40:8 50:2 57:2 instances 77:14 78:3 instituto 47:5 instruction 57:15 instrument 65:22 insurrections 58:13 intended 58:10 intention 36:2 interact 12:22 interest 24:12,14 27:7,11 30:11,24 32:6,17 interested 61:2 interesting 60:15 international 20:10 intervened 39:4,8 39:13 invested 57:16 62:14 investment 27:8 27:14 32:2,18,20 61:7 62:12,24 63:17 65:20 invitation 60:18	ipse 42:19 issue 10:20 18:2 29:8 44:12 59:5 66:19 70:8 71:6,8 71:14 issues 8:4 28:9,16 29:5 43:13,15 71:2 items 27:9,15 32:18 55:17 ivanhoe 8:24 44:21 47:22 74:16 74:16,19	48:6,7,10,14 54:1 60:7,8,23 64:21 66:15,15 67:15 68:23 69:21 70:8 70:11 71:17 77:17 77:17,18 78:16,21 knows 45:16
i		j	l
i.e. 40:22 ida 66:24 ignores 72:19 ignoring 53:9 iii 3:18 immediately 21:14 impact 28:21 implicate 11:16 important 22:16 24:9 38:23 52:10 52:11 59:4 73:4 76:7 importantly 24:21 61:17 inaccurate 27:11 inappropriate 68:22 incident 5:7 incidental 65:19 inclined 76:15 include 61:6 included 59:3 includes 52:23 62:23 including 27:8,13 27:14 32:17 41:11 52:2 67:1 70:6,7 72:5 73:9 incompatible 74:8 inconsistency 19:21 inconsistent 19:20 20:3 incremental 31:4 incurred 54:11 indebtedness 9:8		j 3:18 judge 1:20 58:16 75:11 justification 32:14	l 3:11,19 l.l.p. 3:4 landlord 45:24 language 22:22 25:18 28:20 29:8 29:9 50:10,11,15 52:8 53:3,7,9 54:6 59:3,5,6 66:21 largely 34:12 late 27:20 laughter 78:18 lavine 3:9 law 6:16,20 9:14 18:1 28:16 29:10 29:15 32:21 33:7 38:23 40:15,16,16 40:21 41:23,24 46:14 47:3,11,12 48:1,19 53:10 55:6,8 61:19 63:15 70:1 laws 59:4 lbhi 3:5 4:10 5:14 5:15 6:7 7:10,17 7:22,23 11:16 12:3,3,13,19,19 13:10,13,14,20 14:18 16:14 23:2 28:19,22 31:8 34:2,7,9 37:10 39:21 40:11,19 41:2,8 42:10,18 43:19 45:2 50:5 51:14 52:3,5,14 63:18 70:6,8
		k	
		karen 2:1 key 8:6 kick 16:14 59:18 kicks 14:22,24 44:5 57:22 kind 9:15 22:11 22:11,13 33:4 44:7 67:12 75:24 kneejerk 69:21 knew 6:7 52:5,6 52:14 knock 16:14 know 4:13,20 5:9 5:15 6:14 8:9 15:13,23 16:19,20 17:3,5,7,10 18:11 22:10,14,19,23 25:9 29:19,20 31:12 32:21 39:6 39:13 40:22 42:8 43:2 44:3,10,11 44:20,21 45:21 46:14,19 47:18	

[lbhi - maverick]

Page 10

74:20,22 lbi 5:15 12:11 18:23 19:1,2,3 22:4,5,12 58:18 lbie 4:23 5:14,14 5:20,22,24 6:2,5,7 6:8 7:9,10,22 9:9 9:11,11,13 10:10 10:11 11:2,7,14 11:23 12:10,11,19 13:7,7,8,15 14:10 16:22 17:8,15,16 17:16 19:5 20:10 21:10 22:7 23:24 24:11,12,16,17 28:5,19 30:10 34:3,6,23 36:15 36:23 37:17,18,19 40:11,11,21 44:2 44:16,24,24 45:3 50:18 51:13 52:1 52:12 55:5 58:1 64:12,23 65:2,4 65:13 67:14 68:10 71:24 73:8,18 74:15,24 lbie's 21:7 41:17 66:6,7 lease 45:13,21 leave 29:16,21 55:1 left 12:19 48:15 55:7 legal 5:16 8:4 27:7 28:3,12,21 29:5,8 32:6,17 35:8 81:19 lehman 1:7 12:8,9 12:17 19:19,23 20:3,9 21:21 24:6 24:22 25:16 36:11 44:19 56:21 65:9 65:18 70:4,6 71:5	71:7,9 74:1 lehman's 72:18 73:5 lending 10:21,22 24:4,5 lesser 29:18 46:4 letter 23:10 52:24 level 47:14 76:18 levine 3:11 4:9,9 4:12,19 5:3,5,8,18 6:5,19,24 7:3,15 8:1,4,13,15,21,23 9:1,7 10:1,3,7,13 10:16 11:18,21 12:1,13,16 13:4,6 14:21 15:3,7,13 16:3,5,16,18 17:2 18:15,19,22,24 19:3,10,13,16 20:6,13,17 21:19 22:10,19 25:13,21 25:24 26:3,18,21 27:3,24 29:24 30:2,6 31:19,21 32:2,23 33:19 41:20 42:7 48:6 51:7 64:4,7,17,20 66:24 67:19,24 lexington 3:15 liabilities 23:24 51:24 liability 2:3 16:14 41:11,17 54:10 74:10 75:22 80:6 liable 65:9,18 libby 7:20 licks 67:20 lien 24:11,14 life 36:12 54:18 light 4:14,15 9:5,7 limit 11:23 74:2 limited 23:15,21 52:2	line 68:21 71:22 lines 8:16 19:17 liquidate 26:6 liquidation 33:12 72:3 74:6 liquidator 21:3 list 10:20 32:11 listed 10:22 litigated 34:18,23 52:9 little 6:15 18:18 45:8 live 41:18,19,19 78:3 living 78:3 llp 3:13 long 14:4,6 17:11 18:3 23:14,20 30:18,18 57:1 75:1,7 longer 52:21 53:2 53:6,14 54:2 66:4 73:13 look 11:20 13:1 14:6 18:2 22:17 22:22 23:18 30:8 50:2,9 51:6 52:7 71:4,13 looking 7:18 20:15 23:13 37:5 63:19 lose 17:23 18:1 loss 62:24 65:9,13 lost 15:11 27:8 32:2,18,20 61:6 62:12 63:17 65:16 65:19 lot 68:4,23 70:24 77:18 79:2 lucky 18:11 luring 68:19	m m 3:9 madoff 66:23 72:15 main 14:2,3 majeure 58:10 59:9 majority 6:11 making 60:7,8 maligned 46:13 manges 3:4 march 1:15 15:22 41:7 73:7 81:14 margin 19:18 marginal 10:21 24:4 market 65:11 martin 3:19 35:16 35:20 45:18 48:11 51:3 55:15,21,23 56:1,4,8,11,20,24 57:5,8,12,14,18 57:21 58:2,4,9,12 58:15,20,24 59:14 59:16,21,24 60:6 60:16,21 61:8,14 61:16 62:8,10,18 63:1,3,6,10,20,23 64:2 master 10:22 13:24 15:9,9 20:10 24:4 28:14 28:15 66:18 72:5 72:8 73:3,24 matter 1:5 5:16 11:13 14:13 29:10 29:15 44:22 46:16 69:11 70:1 71:5,7 matters 13:9 23:11 66:16 maveric 73:8 maverick 3:14 4:18,23 6:15 7:16
---	---	--	---

9:9,14 10:11 11:2 11:7,15 13:15 16:22 17:8,15,16 18:10 21:15 22:20 23:14,20,21 24:8 25:4,6,8,16 26:5 27:12 28:5 30:10 32:5,5,12,15 35:15 36:14 38:4 39:1 41:10,23 44:17,18 45:6 51:14 52:1,12 54:20 61:5 64:24 65:3 69:18 71:22 74:12,15,16,19,21 75:1,15,17,18 maverick's 8:8 14:2 24:18,23 27:5 33:14 72:10 73:14 74:1 maverick's 72:18 maximum 19:23 mean 11:17 12:1 14:23 17:5,12 28:23 32:21 42:19 43:15 46:5 51:21 53:8 55:3 60:6 63:19 67:12 68:7 68:14 70:5 76:14 meaning 72:8 means 8:10 47:17 47:22 49:19,24 53:6 59:1 60:9 63:17 66:3 measure 33:4 44:5 measured 33:11 40:17 48:2 55:8 74:7 75:7 measurement 73:22 measuring 74:3	mediated 5:21 mediation 17:6 35:3 meets 69:23 memorandum 71:14 76:10 mention 27:10 mentioned 23:6 merely 74:17 merits 71:3 mf 16:9 18:1 29:11 58:17 59:2 75:11 million 9:10 14:8 16:6,22,24 17:9 17:15 24:24 25:1 25:5 29:17 30:7 30:17,20 32:4 34:13 35:3 37:21 37:22 62:13 63:18 67:13,13 68:3 71:6 74:14 mind 62:20 mineola 81:22 minus 30:9 34:13 minutes 8:5 missed 47:4 mistake 18:6 mlas 53:1 moment 13:1 36:21 49:22 monetary 57:10 money 28:10 62:15 morning 35:10 55:21,22 motion 4:21 5:7 6:9,10 7:11 8:9 9:18 15:15 30:15 31:16,22 32:14 34:11 move 27:18 70:17 71:19	moved 15:23 moving 77:5 multiple 15:3 n n 3:3 4:4 80:1 81:1 nature 18:4,5 75:22 necessarily 46:14 65:7 necessary 61:24 need 8:11 16:7,7 26:23 62:2,3 69:3 70:19 77:5 78:17 negotiation 41:18 41:22 negotiations 43:23 47:15 68:12 68:24 neither 5:16 11:23 35:8 net 16:21,21 17:9 17:11,19 21:17 22:15 24:14,21 26:1 29:17 75:7 netting 13:24 15:9 15:10 18:7,8 20:5 21:22,24 22:7,13 25:9,10,11 28:14 28:16 29:6 30:19 60:22 61:1 72:5,8 73:3,24 nettings 66:18 never 29:20 65:21 77:19 new 1:2,13,13 3:7 3:16 32:21 33:6 40:15,16,16,21 48:1,19 53:10 55:8 61:19 63:14 65:2 newport 16:8 34:23	nice 46:1,2 nine 74:14 nineteenth 2:3 80:5 non 20:21 21:5,14 22:8 46:14 normal 30:13 38:4 note 32:24 35:17 38:23 noted 27:4 notes 59:17 notice 11:6,8 21:5 21:6,8 53:5 notion 7:19 17:10 43:12 44:12 46:11 61:6 62:16 notwithstanding 22:3,8 nowadays 46:13 nub 43:2 48:8 number 7:9,13 15:3 16:5,21 17:5 20:14 39:11 42:13 44:1 47:17 76:3 numbered 19:12 numbers 9:2 15:15 30:3 43:13 43:16,17 ny 3:7,16 81:22 o o 1:18 4:4 81:1 objected 6:9 objection 2:3 5:23 6:1,12 7:16,17 8:8 27:5 30:15,16 31:17,22 32:20 47:8 64:22 80:5 obligated 9:13 65:2 obligation 5:14,14 5:15 7:20,22 40:6 46:20 49:21 67:15
---	--	--	--

obligations 7:10 11:16,20,22 12:17 12:21 13:14 23:24 24:18 25:15 31:9 40:23 47:9,11 50:1,12,18 51:24 52:20,22,22 53:2 53:5,14,16,17 54:2,8,11,13,18 54:22 55:5 59:17 61:20 66:4,5,6,7,7 66:8 69:18 70:6 72:22 73:12 74:23 obligator 74:10 obligee 49:21 obligor 17:13 33:15 34:3 46:21 46:24 47:24 49:20 67:16 74:11,24 75:5 obligor's 47:11 obviously 12:23 17:13 31:7 occur 21:14 52:18 66:3 71:4 occurred 40:18 63:14 74:11 occurrence 20:19 21:13 occurs 20:24 offering 31:14 office 77:22 officer 21:4 offset 9:12 75:8 offsetting 14:5 okay 4:8,11 5:9 7:24 10:15 18:15 19:6,8 20:4,13 21:18 22:18 24:13 25:8 27:1 29:24 30:3 31:20 32:23 34:15,21 36:21 37:15,18 45:10,12	45:15,20 46:2,8 51:5,20 53:23 54:16 55:10,16,19 58:23 59:13,15 60:5 61:1,13,15 62:11 63:21 64:9 67:18 68:16 69:2 69:5 70:21 76:21 78:11,19 old 81:20 older 56:3 omnibus 2:3 80:5 once 14:22 17:2 52:8 65:13 69:13 73:4 ones 6:14 ongoing 49:19,20 open 55:7 57:1 opened 12:7,7 56:9 opening 12:6 18:6 operation 47:1 48:20 55:6 operative 52:9 73:6 opinion 71:4,15 75:10 76:10 opportunities 27:8,14 32:3,18 32:20 61:7 62:12 62:24 63:18 65:16 65:20 opportunity 35:20 61:23 70:17 75:21 76:17 opposed 31:5 43:20 opposition 18:9 25:8 27:4 64:21 option 76:1,3 order 76:2,15 orms 3:2 81:3,7	ought 61:5 outside 56:14,22 56:24 75:6 outstanding 6:15 7:9,12 34:14,17 overarching 5:4 overstated 25:19 owe 25:16 owed 9:9 16:22 17:8,15,16,16 25:5 29:17 30:9 30:23 32:13 42:3 46:20,24 63:13 68:5 owes 13:8 22:14 <p style="text-align: center;">p</p> p 3:3,3 4:4 page 8:15,21,22 10:14 19:9,13 20:9,11,13,14,14 20:23 21:16 23:15 23:16 24:6 31:1 31:19 47:5 58:22 63:6,7 64:12,23 66:1 73:5 80:3 pages 72:18 paid 34:10 43:12 44:4 45:3,3,4 53:7 53:18 54:19,22 55:4 60:10 67:13 67:13 68:3,4 papers 4:14 33:4 47:4 55:4 69:1 paragraph 8:15 8:16,23 9:1,2,3 18:12 19:10,12 23:18,19 24:1,2 24:21 25:4 27:4 31:23 51:6 52:7 59:11,11,21 60:1 64:24 65:17 66:1 parent 39:21	parse 48:11 part 10:17 21:14 23:16 36:15 44:3 51:22 52:9 62:5 68:21 69:18 particular 40:8 parties 4:18 7:12 7:14,17 34:12,17 43:23 49:10 50:5 52:14 60:11 parties' 18:5 party 12:20 20:19 20:20,21,21,22,24 21:4,5,15 22:9 44:7,9,11 78:17 path 60:19 78:16 patience 33:21 68:2 pause 53:22 56:18 pay 17:17 49:22 60:2 payable 32:8 paying 6:5 68:13 payment 23:23 25:3 41:10 45:5 47:24 48:1 54:3 61:19 63:12,13 payments 54:20 payor 6:2,4,4,8 45:1 pb 22:4,5,6 26:14 32:12 65:8,17 67:6 penny 56:14 people 41:19 45:6 77:18 percent 6:2,6,8 35:23 44:24 perfectly 61:9 perform 12:3 65:12,15 period 49:9 62:14
--	---	---	--

[permit - quite]

Page 13

permit 77:9 permitted 61:23 person 56:2,3 perspective 21:7 petition 9:23,24 14:6,9,12,14,20 16:19 17:8 21:2 24:22 26:9 29:18 30:19 40:12,14 42:5,11,17,21 43:10 55:9 63:15 72:1 73:15 ph 47:5 picard 66:24 pick 58:10 plan 2:2 4:10 13:16,18 29:1 69:12,13 80:4 play 61:24 please 4:5 51:2 57:9 67:21 plus 34:13 point 5:10 9:15 11:12 39:2 40:6,7 41:4,4,6,7,8,16,18 41:23 42:1 47:24 48:16 53:4 54:2,3 55:4 61:17,21 64:17 65:22,24 68:3,20 69:8,24 70:10 74:14 pointed 18:9 51:8 66:5 points 33:1 60:21 63:23 71:12 74:1 policy 46:16 74:9 position 26:24 54:5 57:1 61:11 65:5 76:23 positions 14:4,5,5 14:6 17:11 18:3 30:18,18 41:21 68:11 75:2,8,8	positive 17:5 practice 75:3 77:17 precisely 69:2 preclude 17:24 75:14 precludes 29:11 precluding 16:10 preference 59:19 60:4,8,16 presentation 4:17 21:2 56:1 presented 38:20 preserved 32:7 70:3 preserving 61:10 pretty 38:21 58:18 previous 22:6 previously 11:1 primary 7:10,19 7:22 13:6 17:13 33:15 34:2 46:21 46:24 47:10 49:20 49:21 67:16 74:4 74:11,23 75:5 prime 10:20 12:4 12:4,5,6,9,18 15:1 15:8 18:7,12,20 18:23,24 20:8,10 21:19 22:12 24:3 24:7,9,9,10,18,23 25:6,17 28:5,13 28:18 29:8 31:3 32:8,10 36:16 37:8 49:1 52:21 52:24 65:1 66:20 72:7,11,20,22 73:9,12,16 principal 74:10 principles 75:12 printed 18:16	prior 11:8,11 14:18 20:2,8 21:20,21 28:6 54:12 66:14 priority 24:11,14 probably 55:17 70:24 71:3 problem 8:21 33:13 55:24 proceed 70:4,5 77:11 proceeding 21:3 47:20 54:20 proceedings 65:6 79:3 81:4 process 76:23 progeny 74:17 program 35:17 progress 71:11 promise 67:23 promises 71:8 proof 12:24 23:19 25:2 27:19 30:9 61:12 62:21 63:1 63:11 70:8,13 proofs 22:17,24 23:6 30:4 31:6,8 32:5 36:5 proposed 56:20 proposition 44:23 74:17 protect 25:19 protections 19:24 provide 22:13 24:7 49:11 71:15 provided 17:6 providence 16:9 34:24 provides 10:18 12:5 18:8 20:23 21:14 23:19 32:13 52:11 72:2	provision 18:7 19:22 20:3,5 21:20 33:9 51:18 54:17 59:16 64:11 69:12 70:3 provisions 12:21 16:10 17:24 19:18 20:1 22:6 36:3 75:13 public 17:7 pull 13:2 purely 30:6 purpose 9:17 10:2 10:5 purposes 15:15,17 29:5 49:4 pursuant 12:7 13:16 23:22 24:23 45:22 46:4 73:10 pursue 32:7,16 44:18 put 6:20 42:7 46:12
q			
qualifies 69:19 73:1 quarters 9:3 question 5:4 13:8 28:21 29:6,16 34:1 38:24 42:6 45:8 56:9 70:12 70:13 questioned 64:10 questions 36:8 quick 64:5 quicker 67:23 quickly 71:19 77:15 78:2 quintessential 65:20 quite 41:13 44:15 70:11			

[quote - right]

Page 14

quote 41:20 73:11 73:22 quoted 41:20 quotes 46:12,13 72:5,6	recoverable 32:4 recovered 75:1 recovery 43:20 red 68:6 reduction 15:14 refer 23:23 31:23 66:5 reference 58:13 58:14 59:3 referenced 7:15 referred 50:5 referring 43:22 44:2 refers 63:13 reflecting 9:12 regardless 47:18 72:21 reject 45:21 rejected 13:16,18 28:24 69:14 rejection 26:10 33:12 45:13 72:3 74:5 rejects 29:14 relate 55:4 66:14 related 34:23 73:2 74:6 relates 43:12 relation 20:20 66:18 relationship 19:4 37:7 38:4 44:16 49:14,18,20 56:21 57:9 release 52:8 released 66:8 releases 52:8 releasing 52:12 relevant 10:18,23 10:24 51:10 reliance 73:15 relies 28:2	rely 22:21 23:1,2 29:3 remain 52:17 53:11 54:8 66:2 remaining 13:14 69:18 remedies 19:24 26:6 remember 6:16 6:18 11:6 26:13 repeat 31:22 repeated 32:19 replaced 23:4 reply 20:7,7 69:20 72:18 73:5 request 65:4 67:4 requested 68:11 require 6:19 65:7 required 21:5,9 requires 44:6 73:20 reserve 27:6 63:19 reserved 27:12 32:6 reserves 44:18 resist 68:18 resisted 68:12 resolution 23:1,9 23:23 76:5 resolve 28:17 62:3 resolved 5:24 19:22 27:23 29:3 respect 5:13 14:24 15:2 20:5,19,24 22:4 27:6,13 32:14 34:17 44:9 48:9 52:13 58:18 60:4 61:22 69:10 76:24 respectfully 48:16 58:20 70:17 76:12	respects 73:17 75:18 response 11:19 13:19 30:15 31:22 64:13 responses 31:7 rest 54:6 restrictions 65:10 rests 43:11 result 47:11,14,15 55:5 63:13 resulted 44:1 resulting 59:19 retain 47:17 return 9:14 40:23 65:3 revert 22:5 richard 3:11 4:9 rick 33:24 rid 6:11 right 4:20 5:8 6:5 6:18 7:24 8:3 9:4 9:16 10:1,3,7 11:18 12:1,1 13:5 13:20 15:3,7 16:4 16:23 17:14 18:24 19:16,17 22:3,10 24:13 25:21,21 26:2,17 29:7 30:1 32:6,7 33:5 35:5 35:19 36:10,14,20 37:1,9,11,16,18 37:22 39:3,19,24 41:2,13 42:15 43:1 44:18 45:13 45:20,23,24 46:6 46:22 48:21,24 49:13,14 50:19 52:19 53:13 57:7 57:20,24,24 58:2 61:10 64:1 67:20 70:4,5 76:16,17 78:13,24
--	---	---	---

[rights - sounds]

Page 15

rights 19:14,23 27:6,13 32:16 51:24 71:17 74:20	s	66:18 67:7,8,11 68:5 72:6,7,11,14 72:17,20 73:3,24	73:7,8,10,11,14 74:14 75:2
risk 38:5 57:2,7	s 3:3 4:4	security 13:23	severability 72:19
road 81:20	s&p 23:10	24:11,14 73:1	severable 72:23
roll 3:18 35:10,12	sample 30:9	see 30:6 45:16	shearman 3:13
35:14,14,19,23	satisfied 71:24	46:3 60:1 61:13	35:14
36:2,5,9,13,17,20	74:11	76:4 78:10	sheer 4:16
36:24 37:3,9,11	saw 11:4 30:8	seek 30:7 31:4	sheila 3:2 81:3,7
37:14,24 38:2,8	69:20	32:6	shelley 1:19
38:12,16,19,22	saying 22:3 31:2	seeking 26:15	short 14:5,5 75:8
39:4,11,17,19,22	48:6	46:21,23	75:24 77:1
39:24 40:5,8,15	says 19:2 31:13	seen 77:13	show 29:20 44:22
42:12,15,20,24	32:10 33:7,10	sell 67:8	50:20,20,21
43:6,22 45:4,10	37:18,19 40:15,16	sense 17:12,21	shrift 77:1
45:12,15,23 46:7	44:15 45:20 47:8	21:10 48:4 62:17	side 12:17 18:12
46:17,22 47:1,8	50:11,11 51:10,22	67:17	78:10
48:14,21,24 49:4	52:16 53:11 54:18	sentence 9:4	sides 6:20
49:8,16,23 50:7,9	55:8 59:10 60:19	19:17 24:21 54:9	sign 76:9
50:13,15,18,21,24	64:15 65:17 66:12	63:7 65:17 66:12	similar 5:12,12
51:4,6,10,13,17	70:14	66:14	61:22 72:16
51:20 52:5,19	scc 1:3	separate 19:4	simply 31:13
53:2,10,13,16,20	scenarios 48:10	54:14,14 72:20	42:10 73:16
53:23,24 54:17	schedule 10:19,23	series 61:20	sincerely 70:18
55:14,17,20 56:6	seat 4:5	serve 11:8	single 19:21
56:9 61:7,18 62:1	second 8:16 10:7	served 11:6	sipa 58:18
67:20,21,23 68:2	19:16 23:19 31:1	serves 21:5	sit 11:21 33:20
68:9,17,20 69:5,8	44:3 51:22 53:19	services 24:7	situation 38:11
69:15,17 70:15,22	53:21 56:17 66:21	set 42:6 43:13	six 16:19 17:8
76:11,21 77:2,5,7	67:2,9 72:15	68:21 70:15,16	22:24 25:1
77:11,13,19,23	secondly 12:23	sets 33:18 41:15	sixth 16:20 17:3
78:5,8,12,23	section 4:21 13:21	43:3	smart 48:10
roman 63:8	15:5 20:15 21:12	settled 6:7	smarter 45:16
room 13:21,22	21:12,16 33:2	settlement 4:24	solutions 81:19
round 5:6	40:10 43:9 72:1,9	9:11,16,17,20,20	soon 71:6
rule 8:24 29:14	72:23 73:21 74:2	10:8,10,11,16,19	sooner 58:7,7
rules 47:2	74:4,13	13:15,17 14:8,10	sorry 8:20 9:1
ruling 71:13	securities 9:13,20	14:11,19 15:18,22	15:11,12 31:19
rulings 16:8 65:7	10:22 12:16 15:6	28:4,20 30:20,23	33:23 37:6 48:14
65:11 80:2	15:7,10 24:4 28:8	41:9 44:2,14,15	53:23 63:7
running 66:19	28:14,15 36:22	49:9 50:16,22	sort 77:3
	37:12 38:6 40:22	51:4,23 52:10	sought 21:10 69:7
	41:5 42:1,16	55:6 66:9 70:3	sounds 24:13,20
	44:11 47:2 48:23		25:9,10
	57:9 58:6,7 65:3		

[south - thereunder]

Page 16

south 3:2 81:3,11 southern 1:2 47:3 speaking 36:12 speaks 61:17 special 65:18 specific 44:7,7,8 44:11 65:22 specifically 44:17 58:16 67:1,9 72:15 specified 72:4 spelled 73:5 spend 32:22 spent 70:24 spoke 34:23 spring 54:18 srm 5:20 6:15,15 7:15 11:6 34:23 stage 43:24 stand 74:17 standalone 61:19 61:20 standard 22:11 stands 44:23 start 4:23 8:6 started 38:3 48:6 starting 71:5,7 state 63:14 stated 63:3 statement 8:8,18 9:7 63:11,17 64:20 states 1:1 status 22:8 sterling 3:13 35:14 stock 57:1,2,16,18 stonehill 16:8 stop 16:12 49:10 68:20 stopper 44:22 story 68:23	straightforward 65:1 structurally 5:12 struggling 78:4 stuck 14:19 stuff 36:18 37:17 37:18,20,20,21 38:21,22 39:2 40:1 62:15 subject 7:11 59:18 60:3 72:12 subsection 51:22 sufficiency 16:1,3 27:23 43:11 68:22 suggest 33:4,14 suggested 26:21 suggesting 11:5 suite 81:21 summary 30:4 summer 5:21 support 14:16 31:15 suppose 36:11 76:1 supposed 30:3 supposedly 15:18 supremacy 33:10 sure 5:3,5 7:1 12:12 13:1 17:13 20:14 33:22 35:18 36:4,7 37:18 44:20 49:18 50:23 56:10 67:22 68:1 77:10 surely 58:9 surprise 63:18 surprised 70:9 surprising 22:12 surrounding 43:13,16,16 survived 6:13 28:23	suspension 58:17 58:21,24 65:11 switching 62:18 system 33:17 74:3 t t 81:1,1 tab 8:12,13,14,20 8:21 10:9,10,10 18:14,15 19:8 20:6,11 22:18,19 23:14 31:18,19,19 50:4 51:2 59:11 59:14 63:6 64:23 tabs 18:18 tag 56:1 take 14:4 17:2,18 25:15 41:14 44:12 45:8 57:14 71:8 76:17 78:16 taken 39:1 41:21 takes 57:24 talk 50:22 76:4,13 77:8 78:9 talking 24:1 50:15 talks 33:3,6 58:12 58:12 teaches 56:3 team 56:1 technically 12:20 tell 11:4 29:20 46:2 57:8 70:24 tells 49:23 tenant 46:1 term 52:20,23,23 58:21 72:14 terminate 37:17 39:23 49:12 56:21 57:9 terminated 11:2,2 11:14,22 12:18 13:7,10,13,20,23 13:24 14:22 28:6 28:19 36:15 42:6	42:8 43:3,4,5,7,7 44:4,13 49:8,9,13 50:14 51:13 62:2 66:16 69:11 70:1 73:10,17,20,21 terminates 49:14 49:18 terminating 44:16 55:5 termination 10:18 11:5,7,8,9,11 15:1 20:15 26:9 28:6 28:11,22 33:12 40:7,9 49:19 50:11 53:3,4,5 54:1,3,9,12,14,22 66:11,12,14 69:10 72:4 73:23 74:5 terms 5:9 12:17 20:8 24:10 25:16 31:1 34:11,22 35:8 39:11 46:16 64:11 65:16,23 66:10 73:4,11 75:12 76:8 77:14 test 69:17,23 text 23:18 thank 4:9,19 7:5 7:24 33:20 35:9 55:20,23 64:2,3 67:19 70:22,23 78:12,13,14 79:1 thanks 79:2 thedefinitions 73:4 theories 75:21 theory 14:2,3 30:14 41:8 62:13 62:21 thereof 54:12 thereunder 11:1 51:11
---	---	--	--

[there's - want]

Page 17

there's 7:13 thing 5:1 10:7 32:23 33:24 41:15 56:3 59:7 60:6 61:2 64:9 71:2 things 12:20 22:14 36:2 40:20 41:14,15 44:7 62:20 64:10 73:23 77:15 78:1,2 think 6:17 8:6,9 8:19 11:12 13:9 13:12,21 14:13 15:4,13 17:10,21 17:21,23 18:1,3,4 21:24 22:11,13,16 23:5,10 26:8 27:11,15,16,16,24 28:1,2,10,16,19 29:2,6,7,9,13 30:10,12 33:13 34:10 38:23 44:6 44:22 46:2 47:5 51:7 54:23 55:3 56:4,11 58:4,11 58:20,22 59:2,8 60:24 62:6,11,18 62:20,23 63:1 65:23 66:15,17,20 67:16 69:17,19,22 71:3 76:12,19 thinking 51:16 third 49:6,6 59:11 59:21 76:3 thirds 49:5 59:22 thought 8:5 15:11 58:17 68:10 three 9:3 12:2 17:22 23:1,2 24:3 24:6 29:10 44:7 76:13,13 tier 33:19 74:3	tiered 33:17 time 22:17 26:5 32:22 36:21 38:8 44:1 49:22 52:5 62:14 64:8 67:24 69:20 70:11 71:1 77:21,23 today 23:11 41:8 56:5 63:16 71:1 71:11,21 told 57:16 top 30:3 tornado 58:19 tornados 58:12 touch 56:8 tough 77:15 trade 12:14 65:14 trades 67:9 trading 58:17,22 59:1 65:12 train 15:11 transactions 10:24 51:11 54:11 transcribed 3:2 transcriber 81:8 81:12 transcript 76:2,16 81:4 tree 16:12 trial 71:5,7 trigger 54:1 triggered 60:11 true 14:21 81:4 trying 53:4 68:18 77:3 78:7 turn 10:13 20:23 36:6 55:11,12,14 76:9 78:1 turning 77:14 two 5:19 7:12,14 7:15,16 17:22 33:17,17,19 34:12 34:13 41:14,15	43:3 48:22 49:5,5 54:23 56:3 57:14 57:15 59:22 62:20 71:19 74:3,6 typical 22:14 typically 71:24	unquote 73:11,22 unsatisfied 74:23 urgency 78:6 use 9:23,24 18:18 73:13 usual 4:13
		u	v
		u.s. 1:11,20 uh 30:5 36:17,24 39:22 42:12 46:17 47:7 49:16 50:6,8 50:13 51:12 56:23 57:11,13,17 62:8 63:9 uk 6:16 41:22,24 47:1 55:6 unambiguously 60:2,9,15 unconditional 61:18 underlying 28:3 49:13,17 understand 9:22 11:10 14:2,15 25:23 26:18,23 31:5 40:2 62:6 66:11 76:11,14 77:2 understanding 5:19 25:24 understood 40:15 66:13 78:5 undertaken 44:8 undisputed 69:4 unfixed 48:15 uniform 65:2 unique 34:7 35:6 united 1:1 unliquidated 30:11,11 31:1 40:13 unquestionably 45:1	valuation 9:18,21 14:19 73:15 value 9:11,12,19 14:1,3,7,9,11,11 14:14 15:14,17,18 26:4 28:7 30:18 30:20,22 37:2,4 37:20,20 38:8 40:9 41:5,24 42:1 42:16 43:10 47:2 57:19 74:12,18 75:1,7 valued 14:18 72:1 74:13 valuing 10:3,3 72:3 73:6 various 27:6 31:7 vast 6:11 vein 24:15 veritext 81:19 versus 66:24 virtually 32:21 volume 4:16 voluminous 78:2
			w
			wait 71:17 76:2 78:21 waiting 76:14 walk 45:8 walking 8:10 want 7:3 8:11 27:18 31:2 36:7 37:19,20 55:10,11 55:12 57:8,16 64:24 67:8 68:16 71:10,16 76:20,22

[want - zero]

Page 18

77:1,7	x
wanted 6:11 10:8	x 1:4,10 80:1
35:4 50:20 56:21	
64:9 69:24 71:1	y
way 5:11 9:3 35:7	yeah 7:5 19:3
39:2 46:9,15 55:3	50:17 55:14 64:4
59:22 63:21,22	64:19 69:16 77:4
ways 36:15	years 57:14,15
we've 46:3 55:3	yep 51:9
57:15	york 1:2,13,13 3:7
weekend 79:2	3:16 32:21 33:6
weil 3:4 4:10	40:15,16,16,21
33:24	48:1,19 53:10
went 36:12 40:18	55:8 61:19 63:14
56:16 68:24	65:2
william 3:18	younger 56:2
35:14	z
willing 76:9,15	zero 6:1
win 8:6	
windfall 17:18,20	
winding 21:2	
withdrawals 67:5	
withdrawn 5:23	
6:10 34:19	
words 11:14,23	
12:6 24:6 73:13	
work 35:23 46:9	
63:21,22	
works 46:15	
world 56:13 58:21	
worst 16:24	
worth 36:22 40:1	
would've 38:8	
wrap 29:23	
write 76:1	
writing 70:20	
written 6:24 71:4	
75:10	
wrong 16:11	
26:22 27:17,24	
58:20	